

- (1) Tel: +81-97-554-7569 (2) Fax: +81-97-554-7514  
(3) e-mail: nkemoto@cc.oita-u.ac.jp

## NORMALITY AND COUNTABLE PARACOMPACTNESS OF HYPERSPACES OF ORDINALS

NOBUYUKI KEMOTO

ABSTRACT. For an ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $2^\alpha$  denotes the collection of all nonempty closed sets of  $\alpha$  with the Vietoris topology and  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  denotes the collection of all nonempty compact sets of  $\alpha$  with the subspace topology of  $2^\alpha$ . It is well-known that  $2^\alpha$  is normal iff  $\text{cf}\alpha = 1$ . In this paper, we will prove that for every nonzero-ordinal  $\alpha$ :

- (1)  $2^\alpha$  is countably paracompact iff  $\text{cf}\alpha \neq \omega$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  is countably paracompact.
- (3)  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  is normal iff, if  $\text{cf}\alpha$  is uncountable, then  $\text{cf}\alpha = \alpha$ .

In (3), we use elementary submodel techniques.

Throughout the paper, spaces mean nonempty topological spaces and generally  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots (\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \dots, k, l, m, \dots)$  stand for ordinals (infinite cardinals, natural numbers).  $\omega$  ( $\omega_1$ ) is the first infinite ordinal (the first uncountable ordinal, respectively) and  $\text{cf}\alpha$  denotes the cofinality of  $\alpha$ . For notational convenience, we consider  $-1$  as the immediate predecessor of the ordinal 0. Ordinals are considered as spaces with the usual order topology, so  $\text{cf}\alpha = 1$  iff  $\alpha$  is compact whenever  $\alpha$  is a nonzero-ordinal.

For a space  $X$ ,  $2^X$  ( $\mathcal{K}(X)$ ) denotes the collection of all nonempty closed (compact, respectively) subsets of  $X$ . For  $n \in \omega$ ,  $[X]^{\leq n}$  denotes the collection of all nonempty subsets of  $X$  of cardinality  $\leq n$  and let  $[X]^{<\omega} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} [X]^{\leq n}$ . Equip  $2^X$  with the Vietoris topology  $\tau_V$  and  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  with its subspace topology. To describe  $\tau_V$ , we need some notation. For every finite family  $\mathcal{V}$  of subsets of  $X$ , let

$$\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle = \{F \in 2^X : F \subset \bigcup \mathcal{V}, \forall V \in \mathcal{V} (V \cap F \neq \emptyset)\}.$$

Then the collection of all subsets of  $2^X$  of the form  $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  is a finite family of open sets of  $X$ , is a base for  $\tau_V$ . For a subset  $U$  of  $X$ , let

$$U^- = \{F \in 2^X : F \cap U \neq \emptyset\}, U^+ = \{F \in 2^X : F \subset U\}.$$

Then it is well-known that  $\tau_V$  has as a subbase all subsets of the form  $U^-$  and  $V^+$ , where  $U$  and  $V$  are open in  $X$ . Observe that  $[X]^{\leq n}$  is closed in  $2^X$  and  $[X]^{<\omega}$  is dense in  $2^X$  and contained in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ .

The relations of separation axioms between the base space  $X$  and its hyperspace are interesting. For example, the following are shown in [5].

- If  $X$  is  $T_1$  then  $2^X$  is  $T_1$ .
- For a  $T_1$ -space  $X$ ,  $X$  is normal iff  $2^X$  is regular.
- For a  $T_1$ -space  $X$ ,  $X$  is regular iff  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is regular.
- For a  $T_1$ -space  $X$ ,  $X$  is compact iff  $2^X$  is compact.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54B20, 54D15.

*Key words and phrases.* normal, countably paracompact, hyperspace, ordinal, elementary submodel.

One of the strong results proved by [7] is:

- For a  $T_1$ -space  $X$ ,  $X$  is compact iff  $2^X$  is normal.

Since an ordinal  $\alpha$  is normal  $T_1$ ,  $2^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  are at least regular  $T_1$ . Moreover by the results above, we have  $\text{cf}\alpha = 1$  iff  $2^\alpha$  is normal. An ordinal  $\alpha$  is also known to be countably paracompact, that is, every countable open cover has a locally finite open refinement. In this paper, we characterize, as is listed in the abstract, normality and countable paracompactness of  $2^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  using the cofinality function  $\text{cf}\alpha$ . From now on, spaces are assumed to be regular  $T_1$ .

It is well known that  $2^\omega$  is not normal [2, 3]. First we check the following:

**Proposition 1.**  $2^\omega$  is not countably paracompact.

*Proof.* Decompose  $\omega$  into two infinite subsets  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ . Fix a 1-1 onto function  $f_i : \omega \rightarrow X_i$  for each  $i \in 2 = \{0, 1\}$  and for every subset  $A \subset \omega$ , define  $F(A) = f_0(A) \cup f_1(\omega \setminus A)$ . Keesling [3] proved that  $\mathcal{F} = \{F(A) : A \subset \omega\}$  is closed discrete in  $2^\omega$ . Since  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  is dense in  $2^\omega$ , the following claim completes the proof. The author believes the following claim have been already proved by someone, but the author could not find a reference for the following claim, so it is proved here for completeness.

*Claim.* In a separable countably paracompact space  $X$ , there does not exist a closed discrete subspace of cardinality  $c$ , here  $c$  denotes the cardinality of the set of all subsets of  $\omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a countable dense subset of  $X$ . Assume that there is a discrete closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  with cardinality  $c$ . We may assume  $F \cap D = \emptyset$  and identify  $F = c$ . Observe that the size of the collection of all countable sequences of subsets of  $D$  is at most  $c^\omega = c$ . So we can list all locally finite countable sequences as  $\{\langle D_n^\alpha : n \in \omega \rangle : \alpha < c\}$ , where some of these sequences can be repeated for different  $\alpha$ 's, if needed. For  $\beta < c$ , define  $f_\beta : c \rightarrow \omega$  by for each  $\alpha < c$ ,

$$f_\beta(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \max\{n \in \omega : \alpha \in \text{Cl}_X D_n^\beta\} & \text{if } \alpha \in \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \text{Cl}_X D_n^\beta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover define  $g : c \rightarrow \omega$  by

$$g(\alpha) = f_\alpha(\alpha) + 1$$

for each  $\alpha < c$ . Since  $\{g^{-1}(n) : n \in \omega\}$  is a discrete collection of closed sets in the countably paracompact space  $X$ , we can find a locally finite collection  $\{G_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open sets in  $X$  with  $g^{-1}(n) = G_n \cap F$ . Take a  $\beta < c$  satisfying  $\langle D_n^\beta : n \in \omega \rangle = \langle G_n \cap D : n \in \omega \rangle$ . Then for each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $g^{-1}(n) \subset G_n \subset \text{Cl}_X G_n = \text{Cl}_X(G_n \cap D) = \text{Cl}_X D_n^\beta$ . So for each  $\alpha < c$ , if  $g(\alpha) = n$ , then  $\alpha \in \text{Cl}_X D_n^\beta$  thus  $f_\beta(\alpha) \geq n = g(\alpha)$ . Therefore we have  $f_\beta(\beta) \geq g(\beta) = f_\beta(\beta) + 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark.** The referee of the present paper gave the following another proof of Proposition 1 : First note that the Sorgenfrey line  $S$  embeds into  $2^\omega$  as a closed subspace, see Example 5 of [6]. If  $N_0$  and  $N_1$  are disjoint pair of infinite subsets of  $\omega$ , then  $\langle A, B \rangle \rightarrow A \cup B$  embeds  $2^{N_0} \times 2^{N_1}$  into  $2^\omega$  as a closed subspace. Thus the Sorgenfrey square  $S \times S$  embeds into  $2^\omega$  as a closed subspace. Since it is known that  $S \times S$  is not countably paracompact (this fact is also shown by the Claim above),  $2^\omega$  is not countably paracompact.

The author does not know whether the following is true.

*Question A.* Is  $2^\omega$  countably metacompact?

Immediately we have:

**Corollary 2.** *If  $2^X$  is countably paracompact, then  $X$  is countably compact.*

Also note that if  $2^X$  is normal, then it is countably paracompact (use the known results listed above).

**Corollary 3.** *For each nonzero-ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $2^\alpha$  is countably paracompact iff  $\text{cf}\alpha \neq \omega$ .*

*Proof.* The “only if” part follows from the Corollary above. Assume  $\text{cf}\alpha \neq \omega$ . Then  $\alpha$  is  $\omega$ -bounded (= each countable subset has a compact closure). Therefore it follows from Theorem 5 of [3] that  $2^\alpha$  is countably compact.  $\square$

The author does not know the answer to:

*Question B.* Is  $X$   $\omega$ -bounded if  $2^X$  is countably paracompact?

Now we discuss countable paracompactness of  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ . The following is almost obvious:

**Lemma 4.** *If  $X$  is represented as the free union  $X = \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} X_n$  of countably many non-empty clopen sets  $X_n$ , then  $\mathcal{K}(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{K}(\bigoplus_{i < n} X_i)$ .*

**Theorem 5.**  *$\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  is countably paracompact for all nonzero-ordinal  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\text{cf}\alpha = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) = 2^\alpha$  is compact. Next assume  $\text{cf}\alpha = \omega$ . Take a strictly increasing sequence  $\{\alpha_n : n \in \omega\}$  cofinal in  $\alpha$ . By the lemma above, we have  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{K}([0, \alpha_n]) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} 2^{[0, \alpha_n]}$ , which is  $\sigma$ -compact thus countably paracompact. Finally assume  $\text{cf}\alpha \geq \omega_1$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  is countably compact. Indeed, let  $\{K_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a countable subset of  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ . Since  $\max K_n < \alpha$  for each  $n \in \omega$  and  $\text{cf}\alpha \geq \omega_1$ , we can find a  $\gamma < \alpha$  with  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} K_n \subset [0, \gamma]$ . Since  $\{K_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a subset of the compact space  $2^{[0, \gamma]}$ , it has a cluster point in  $2^{[0, \gamma]}$  and also in  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  is countably compact.  $\square$

Now we focus on normality of  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $X$  be a zero-dimensional space,  $F$  a nonempty compact subset of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  a finite collection of open sets with  $F \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ . Then there is a pairwise disjoint finite collection  $\mathcal{W}$  of clopen sets such that  $F \in \langle \mathcal{W} \rangle \subset \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , fix  $x(V) \in F \cap V$ . Define an equivalence relation  $V \sim V'$  on  $\mathcal{V}$  by  $x(V) = x(V')$ . For each equivalence class  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{V}/\sim$ , let  $x_{\mathcal{E}} = x(V)$  for some (all)  $V \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Since  $\{x_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{V}/\sim\}$  is a finite subset of the zero-dimensional  $T_2$  space  $X$ , one can find a pairwise disjoint finite collection  $\{W_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{V}/\sim\}$  of clopen sets with  $x_{\mathcal{E}} \in W_{\mathcal{E}} \subset \bigcap \mathcal{E}$  for each  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{V}/\sim$ .

If  $F \setminus \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{V}/\sim} W_{\mathcal{E}} = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{V}/\sim\}$  is as required. So assume  $F \setminus \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{V}/\sim} W_{\mathcal{E}} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $F \setminus \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{V}/\sim} W_{\mathcal{E}}$  is a compact subset of the zero-dimensional space  $X$  and it is covered by  $\mathcal{V}$ , there is a pairwise disjoint collection  $\{W(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$  of clopen sets covering it such that  $W(V) \subset V$  and  $(\bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{V}/\sim} W_{\mathcal{E}}) \cap W(V) = \emptyset$  for each  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then putting  $\mathcal{V}' = \{V \in \mathcal{V} : W(V) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$ ,  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{V}/\sim\} \cup \{W(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}'\}$  is the desired one.  $\square$

Observe that by a similar proof, “Let  $X$  be a zero-dimensional space,  $F$  nonempty compact subset of  $X$ ...” in the above lemma can be replaced by “Let  $X$  be a normal strongly zero-dimensional space,  $F$  nonempty closed subset of  $X$ ...”.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a nonzero-ordinal,  $F \in \mathcal{K}(\gamma)$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  a finite collection of open sets in  $\gamma$  with  $F \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ . Then there are  $n_F \in \omega$  and decreasing sequences  $\{\alpha_i : i < n_F\}$  and  $\{\beta_i : i < n_F\}$  of ordinals in  $\gamma$  such that*

- (1)  $\alpha_0 = \max F$ ,  $\{\alpha_i : i < n_F\} \subset F$ .
- (2)  $\alpha_{i+1} \leq \beta_i < \alpha_i$  for each  $i < n_F$ , where  $\alpha_{n_F} = -1$ .
- (3)  $F \in \langle \{(\beta_i, \alpha_i) : i < n_F\} \rangle \subset \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ .

*Proof.* By the Lemma above, we may assume that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a finite pairwise disjoint collection of clopen sets in  $\gamma$ .

Let  $\alpha \in F$ . Fix the unique  $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$  with  $\alpha \in V_\alpha$  and let  $h_F(\alpha) = \min\{\beta \in F : [\beta, \alpha] \cap F \subset V_\alpha\}$ . Since  $V_\alpha$  is open and  $h_F(\alpha) \in V_\alpha$ , we can find  $g_F(\alpha) < h_F(\alpha)$  with  $-1 \leq g_F(\alpha)$  such that  $(g_F(\alpha), h_F(\alpha)] \subset V_\alpha$ . Then  $g_F(\alpha) < \alpha$  and  $\alpha \in (g_F(\alpha), \alpha] \cap F = [h_F(\alpha), \alpha] \cap F \subset V_\alpha$ . Therefore if  $F \cap [0, h_F(\alpha)) \neq \emptyset$ , then by  $F \cap [0, h_F(\alpha)) = F \cap [0, g_F(\alpha)]$ ,  $\max(F \cap [0, h_F(\alpha)))$  exists and is  $\leq g_F(\alpha)$ .

Now we will define such sequences by downward induction. First let  $\alpha_0 = \max F$  and  $\beta_0 = g_F(\alpha_0)$ . Assume that for each  $i < n$ , decreasing sequences  $\{\alpha_i : i < n\}$  and  $\{\beta_i : i < n\}$  are defined with  $\beta_i = g_F(\alpha_i) < \alpha_i$ . If  $F \cap [0, h_F(\alpha_{n-1})) = \emptyset$ , then stop the induction and let  $n_F = n$ . Otherwise let  $\alpha_n = \max(F \cap [0, h_F(\alpha_{n-1})))$  and  $\beta_n = g_F(\alpha_n)$ .

Since such  $\alpha_i$ 's are strictly decreasing, this induction will be stopped in finite steps. Now it is straightforward to show that these sequences satisfy the required conditions.  $\square$

By  $\text{cf}\omega_1 \neq 1$ ,  $2^{\omega_1}$  is not normal. On the other hand:

**Theorem 8.** *If  $\kappa$  is a regular uncountable cardinal, then  $\mathcal{K}(\kappa)$  is normal.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  be disjoint closed sets in  $\mathcal{K}(\kappa)$ . Let  $M_0$  be an elementary submodel of  $H(\theta)$ , where  $\theta$  is large enough, such that  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}, \kappa \in M_0$  and  $|M_0| < \kappa$ . For elementary submodels, the readers should refer to [1, 4]. Assume that elementary submodels  $M_0, \dots, M_{n-1}$  of  $H(\theta)$  with  $M_0 \subset \dots \subset M_{n-1}$  and  $|M_{n-1}| < \kappa$  are defined. Let  $M_n$  be an elementary submodel of  $H(\theta)$  satisfying  $M_{n-1} \cup \bigcup(M_{n-1} \cap \kappa) \subset M_n$  and  $|M_n| < \kappa$ . Then the union  $M = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} M_n$  is also an elementary submodel of  $H(\theta)$  and satisfies  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}, \kappa \in M$ ,  $|M| < \kappa$  and  $\kappa \cap M$  is an ordinal. Let  $\gamma = \kappa \cap M < \kappa$ .

*Claim 1.* If  $F \in \mathcal{K}(\kappa) \cap M$ , then  $\max F < \gamma$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is a compact subset of  $\kappa$ ,  $\max F$  exists and  $< \kappa$ . On the other hand  $\max F$  is determined by  $F$  and  $F \in M$ , by elementarity, we have  $\max F \in M$ . Therefore  $\max F \in \kappa \cap M = \gamma$ .

To show that  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  are separated by disjoint open sets in  $\mathcal{K}(\kappa)$ , it suffices to show :

(\*) : for each  $F \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{H}$ , there is a finite collection  $\mathcal{V}_F$  of open sets of  $\kappa$  such that

$$F \in \langle \mathcal{V}_F \rangle,$$

$$\left( \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \langle \mathcal{V}_F \rangle \right) \cap \left( \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \langle \mathcal{V}_H \rangle \right) = \emptyset.$$

Therefore by elementarity, it suffices to show  $M \models (*)$ , that is, for each  $F \in (\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{H}) \cap M$ , there is a finite collection  $\mathcal{V}_F \in M$  of open sets of  $\kappa$  such that

$$F \in \langle \mathcal{V}_F \rangle,$$

$$\langle \mathcal{V}_F \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{V}_H \rangle = \emptyset \text{ for each } F \in \mathcal{F} \cap M \text{ and } H \in \mathcal{H} \cap M.$$

Observe that by Claim 1,  $\mathcal{F} \cap M$  and  $\mathcal{H} \cap M$  are subsets of the compact space  $\mathcal{K}([0, \gamma]) = 2^{[0, \gamma]}$ .

*Claim 2.*  $\text{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}([0, \gamma])}(\mathcal{F} \cap M) \cap \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}([0, \gamma])}(\mathcal{H} \cap M) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Assume that there is a  $K \in \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}([0, \gamma])}(\mathcal{F} \cap M) \cap \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}([0, \gamma])}(\mathcal{H} \cap M)$ . Let  $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  be an arbitrary neighborhood of  $K$  in  $\mathcal{K}(\kappa)$ , that is,  $\mathcal{V}$  is a finite collection of open sets in  $\kappa$  with  $K \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ . Since  $K \subset [0, \gamma] \subset \kappa$ , we may assume that  $\bigcup \mathcal{V} \subset [0, \gamma]$ . Then  $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  is a neighborhood of  $K$  in  $\mathcal{K}([0, \gamma])$ . It follows from  $K \in \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}([0, \gamma])}(\mathcal{F} \cap M)$  that  $\emptyset \neq \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle \cap (\mathcal{F} \cap M) \subset \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle \cap \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  was an arbitrary neighborhood of  $K$  in  $\mathcal{K}(\kappa)$ , we have  $K \in \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}(\kappa)} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ . Similarly we have  $K \in \mathcal{H}$ , a contradiction.

By normality of  $\mathcal{K}([0, \gamma])$  and Claim 2, for each  $F \in (\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{H}) \cap M$ , there is a finite collection  $\mathcal{V}_F$  of open sets of  $[0, \gamma]$  such that

$$F \in \langle \mathcal{V}_F \rangle,$$

$$\langle \mathcal{V}_F \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{V}_H \rangle = \emptyset \text{ for each } F \in \mathcal{F} \cap M \text{ and } H \in \mathcal{H} \cap M.$$

For each  $F \in (\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{H}) \cap M$ , since  $F \in \langle \mathcal{V}_F \rangle$ , applying Lemma 7 to  $\gamma + 1 = [0, \gamma]$ , we can find two finite sequences  $\{\alpha_i^F : i < n_F\}$  and  $\{\beta_i^F : i < n_F\}$  of  $[0, \gamma]$  satisfying (1)-(3).

By (3), we may assume  $\mathcal{V}_F = \{(\beta_i^F, \alpha_i^F] : i < n_F\}$ . By Claim 1,  $\alpha_0^F = \max F < \gamma \subset M$ , therefore these two sequences are subsets of  $M$ . So by elementarity, these two finite sequences are elements in  $M$ .  $\mathcal{V}_F$  is determined by these two sequences, consequently we have  $\mathcal{V}_F \in M$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following question seems to be strangely difficult:

*Question C.* Find a proof of the Theorem above without using elementary submodel techniques.

**Lemma 9.** *If  $\omega_1 \leq \text{cf} \gamma < \gamma$ , then  $\mathcal{K}(\gamma)$  is not normal.*

*Proof.* Let  $\kappa = \text{cf} \gamma$ . Choose a strictly increasing sequence  $\{\gamma(\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}$  such that

- (1)  $\text{cf} \gamma < \gamma(0)$ ,
- (2)  $\gamma(\alpha) = \sup\{\gamma(\beta) : \beta < \alpha\}$  if  $\alpha$  is limit.
- (3)  $\gamma = \sup\{\gamma(\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}$ .

It is routine to check that the mapping  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \rightarrow \{\alpha, \gamma(\beta)\}$  embeds  $(\kappa + 1) \times \kappa$  into  $[\gamma]^{\leq 2}$  and, hence, into  $\mathcal{K}(\gamma)$  as a closed subspace. This concludes the proof, since it is well-known that  $(\kappa + 1) \times \kappa$  is not normal if  $\kappa$  is an uncountable regular cardinal.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** *For every nonzero-ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  is normal iff, if  $\text{cf} \alpha$  is uncountable, then  $\text{cf} \alpha = \alpha$ .*

*Proof.* The “only if” part follows from Lemma 9.

The “if” part: If  $\text{cf}\alpha = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  is compact so normal. If  $\text{cf}\alpha = \omega$ , then as in the proof of Theorem 5,  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  is  $\sigma$ -compact so normal. If  $\text{cf}\alpha$  is uncountable, then  $\text{cf}\alpha = \alpha$  so this case follows from Theorem 8.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author thanks the referee of the present paper, Professors Y. Hirata, T. Nogura and K. Tamano for their valuable comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Dow, *An introduction to applications of elementary submodels to topology*, Topology Proc. **13** (1988), 17–72.
- [2] V. M. Ivanova, *On the theory of spaces of subsets (Russian)*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.), **101** (1955) 601–603.
- [3] J. Keesling, *Normality and properties related to compactness in hyperspaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **24** (1970) 760–766.
- [4] K. Kunen, *Set theory. An introduction to independence proofs*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, **102** (1980), North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam.
- [5] E. Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **71** (1951) 152–182.
- [6] V. Popov, *On the subspaces of  $\exp X$* , Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, **23** (1980) 977–984.
- [7] N. V. Velichko, *On the space of closed subsets; English translation*, Siberian Math. Journ., **16** (1975) 484–486.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, OITA UNIVERSITY, DANNOHARU, OITA, 870-1192, JAPAN