

# Sobolev embeddings for Riesz potentials of functions in grand Morrey spaces of variable exponents over non-doubling measure spaces

Takao Ohno and Tetsu Shimomura

## Abstract

In this paper, we are concerned with Sobolev embeddings for Riesz potentials of functions in grand Morrey spaces of variable exponents over non-doubling measure spaces.

## 1 Introduction

The space introduced by Morrey [37] in 1938 has become a useful tool of the study for the existence and regularity of partial differential equations (see also [39]). The maximal operator is a classical tool in harmonic analysis and studying Sobolev functions and partial differential equations and plays a central role in the study of differentiation, singular integrals, smoothness of functions and so on (see [4], [29], [44], etc.). Boundedness properties of the maximal operator and Riesz potentials of functions in Morrey spaces were investigated in [1], [5] and [38]. The same problem for the maximal operator and Riesz potentials of functions in Morrey spaces with non-doubling measure was studied in [41] (see also [23] and [40], etc.).

In the mean time, variable exponent Lebesgue spaces and Sobolev spaces were introduced to discuss nonlinear partial differential equations with non-standard growth condition. For a survey, see [9]. The boundedness of the maximal operator on variable exponent Lebesgue spaces  $L^{p(\cdot)}$  was studied in [6], [7] and [24]. In [8], Sobolev's inequality for variable exponent Lebesgue spaces  $L^{p(\cdot)}$  was studied. Then such properties were investigated on variable exponent Morrey spaces in [3], [21], [17], [22] and [35]. For variable exponent Morrey spaces with non-doubling measure in [30].

Grand Lebesgue spaces were introduced in [27] for the sake of study of the Jacobian. The grand Lebesgue spaces play an important role also in the theory of partial differential equations (see [19], [28] and [43], etc.). The generalized grand Lebesgue spaces appeared in [20], where the existence and uniqueness of the non-homogeneous

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 31B15, 46E35

Key words and phrases : grand Morrey spaces, variable exponents, non-doubling measure, metric measure spaces, Riesz potentials, maximal operator, Sobolev's inequality, Trudinger's exponential inequality, continuity

$N$ -harmonic equations  $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{N-2}\nabla u) = \mu$  were studied. The boundedness of the maximal operator on the grand Lebesgue spaces was studied in [14]. The boundedness of the maximal operator and Sobolev's inequality for grand Morrey spaces with doubling measure were also studied in [32]. See also [15] and [31], etc..

Our first aim in this paper is to establish the boundedness of the maximal operator on grand Morrey spaces of variable exponents over non-doubling measure spaces. As an application of the boundedness of the maximal operator by use of Hedberg's trick [25], we shall give Sobolev type inequalities for Riesz potentials of functions in these spaces.

A famous Trudinger inequality ([45]) insists that Sobolev functions in  $W^{1,N}(G)$  satisfy finite exponential integrability, where  $G$  is an open bounded set in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  (see also [2] and [46]). Great progress on Trudinger type inequalities has been made for Riesz potentials of order  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < N$ ) in the limiting case  $\alpha p = N$  (see e.g. [10], [11], [12], [13], [42]). Trudinger type exponential integrability was investigated on variable exponent Lebesgue spaces  $L^{p(\cdot)}$  in [16], [17] and [18] and on variable exponent Morrey spaces in [35]. For related results, see e.g. [33], [34] and [36].

Our second aim in this paper is to establish Trudinger's type exponential integrability for Riesz potentials of functions in grand Morrey spaces of variable exponents over non-doubling measure spaces. Further, in the final section, we are concerned with the continuity for Riesz potentials in our setting.

## 2 Preliminaries

By a quasi-metric measure space, we mean a triple  $(X, \rho, \mu)$ , where  $X$  is a set,  $\rho$  is a quasi-metric on  $X$  and  $\mu$  is a complete measure on  $X$ . Here, we say that  $\rho$  is a quasi-metric on  $X$  if  $\rho$  satisfies the following conditions:

- ( $\rho 1$ )  $\rho(x, y) \geq 0$  and  $\rho(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- ( $\rho 2$ ) there exists a constant  $a_0 \geq 1$  such that  $\rho(x, y) \leq a_0 \rho(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- ( $\rho 3$ ) there exists a constant  $a_1 > 0$  such that  $\rho(x, y) \leq a_1(\rho(x, z) + \rho(z, y))$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

We denote  $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : \rho(x, y) < r\}$  and  $d_X = \sup\{\rho(x, y) : x, y \in X\}$ . In this paper, we assume that  $0 < d_X < \infty$  and  $0 < \mu(B(x, r)) < \infty$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$ . This implies  $\mu(X) < \infty$ .

We say that a measure  $\mu$  is lower Ahlfors  $q$ -regular if there exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \geq c_0 r^q \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $0 < r < d_X$ . Further,  $\mu$  is said to be a doubling measure if there exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that  $\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq c_1 \mu(B(x, r))$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $0 < r < d_X$ . By the doubling property, if  $0 < r \leq R < d_X$ , then there exist constants  $C_Q > 0$  and  $Q \geq 0$  such that

$$\frac{\mu(B(x, r))}{\mu(B(x, R))} \geq C_Q \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^Q \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $x \in X$  (see e.g. [26]).

For  $\alpha > 0, k \geq 1$  and a locally integrable function  $f$  on  $X$ , we define the Riesz potential  $U_{\alpha,k}f$  of order  $\alpha$  by

$$U_{\alpha,k}f(x) = \int_X \frac{\rho(x,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x, k\rho(x,y)))} f(y) d\mu(y).$$

Let  $p(\cdot)$  be a measurable function on  $X$  such that

$$(P1) \quad 1 < p^- := \inf_{x \in X} p(x) \leq \sup_{x \in X} p(x) =: p^+ < \infty$$

and

(P2)  $p(\cdot)$  is log-Hölder continuous, namely

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{c_p}{\log(e + 1/\rho(x,y))} \quad \text{for } x, y \in X$$

with a constant  $c_p \geq 0$ . Here note from (P2) that

(P2')

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{c'_p}{\log(e + 1/\rho(y,x))} \quad \text{for } x, y \in X$$

with a constant  $c'_p \geq 0$ .

For a locally integrable function  $f$  on  $X$ , set

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(X)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_X \left( \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(y)} d\mu(y) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

For  $0 < \varepsilon < p^- - 1$ , set

$$p_\varepsilon(x) = p(x) - \varepsilon.$$

For  $\nu > 0, \theta > 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ , we denote by  $L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; k}(X)$  the class of locally integrable functions  $f$  on  $X$  satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; k}(X)} = \sup_{x \in X, 0 < r < d_X, 0 < \varepsilon < p^- - 1} \varepsilon^\theta \left( \frac{r^\nu}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \right)^{1/p_\varepsilon(x)} \|f\|_{L^{p_\varepsilon(\cdot)}(B(x,r))} < \infty.$$

Throughout this paper, let  $C$  denote various constants independent of the variables in question.  $g \sim h$  means that  $C^{-1}h \leq g \leq Ch$  for some constant  $C > 0$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $k \geq 1$ . If  $\mu$  is lower Ahlfors  $q$ -regular, then*

$$\mu(B(x, kr))^{p_\varepsilon(y)} \sim \mu(B(x, kr))^{p_\varepsilon(x)}$$

whenever  $y \in B(x, r)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $p_\varepsilon(\cdot)$  satisfies the condition (P2), we see from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\mu(B(x, kr))}{\mu(X)} \right)^{-|p_\varepsilon(x) - p_\varepsilon(y)|} &\leq \exp \left( \frac{c_p}{\log(e + 1/\rho(x,y))} \log \frac{\mu(X)}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left( \frac{c_p}{\log(e + 1/r)} \log \frac{\mu(X)}{c_0(kr)^q} \right) \leq C \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $y \in B(x, r)$ . Hence, we obtain the required result.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $k \geq 1$ . If  $\mu$  is lower Ahlfors  $q$ -regular and  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < p^- - 1$ , then

$$\sup_{x \in X, 0 < r < d_X, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0} \varepsilon^\theta \left( \frac{r^\nu}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \right)^{1/p_\varepsilon(x)} \|f\|_{L^{p_\varepsilon(\cdot)}(B(x, r))} \sim \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; k}(X)}$$

for all  $f \in L^1_{loc}(X)$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that

$$\sup_{x \in X, 0 < r < d_X, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0} \varepsilon^\theta \left( \frac{r^\nu}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \right)^{1/p_\varepsilon(x)} \|f\|_{L^{p_\varepsilon(\cdot)}(B(x, r))} \leq 1.$$

Then note from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y)^{p_{\varepsilon_0/2}(y)} d\mu(y) \leq Cr^{-\nu}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $0 < r < d_X$ . To end the proof, it is sufficient to show that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y)^{p_{\varepsilon_1}(y)} d\mu(y) \leq Cr^{-\nu}$$

for all  $\varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon_1 < p^- - 1$ . For this, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y)^{p_{\varepsilon_1}(y)} d\mu(y) \\ & \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y)^{p_{\varepsilon_0/2}(y)} d\mu(y) \leq Cr^{-\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the required result is proved.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.3. If  $\mu$  is lower Ahlfors  $q$ -regular, then

$$\|1\|_{L^{p_\varepsilon(\cdot)}(B(x, r))} \sim \mu(B(x, r))^{1/p_\varepsilon(x)}$$

for all  $x \in X, 0 < r < d_X$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < p^- - 1$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\int_{B(x, r)} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))^{1/p_\varepsilon(x)}} \right)^{p_\varepsilon(y)} d\mu(y) \sim 1$$

for all  $x \in X, 0 < r < d_X$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < p^- - 1$ , as required.  $\square$

### 3 Boundedness of the maximal operator

From now on, we assume that  $\mu$  is lower Ahlfors  $q$ -regular. For a locally integrable functions  $f$  on  $X$ , we consider the maximal function  $M_2 f$  defined by

$$M_2 f(x) = \sup_{r > 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, 2r))} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| d\mu(y).$$

We first show the boundedness of the maximal operator on grand Morrey spaces of variable exponents over non-doubling measure spaces, as an extension of Meskhi [32, Theorem 3.1].

Let  $j_0$  be the smallest integer satisfying  $2^{j_0} > a_1$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The maximal operator  $f \rightarrow M_2 f$  is bounded from  $L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; 2}(X)$  to  $L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; 2^{j_0+1}}(X)$ , that is,*

$$\|M_2 f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; 2^{j_0+1}}(X)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; 2}(X)} \quad \text{for all } f \in L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; 2}(X).$$

To show Theorem 3.1, we need the following results.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $f$  be a nonnegative function on  $X$  such that  $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; k}(X)} \leq 1$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \int_{B(x, r)} g(y) d\mu(y) \leq Cr^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)}$$

for all  $x \in X, 0 < r < d_X$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < p^- - 1$ , where  $g(y) = \varepsilon^\theta f(y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a nonnegative function on  $X$  such that  $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; k}(X)} \leq 1$ . Then note that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \int_{B(x, r)} g(y)^{p_\varepsilon(y)} d\mu(y) \leq Cr^{-\nu}$$

for all  $x \in X, 0 < r < d_X$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < p^- - 1$ . Hence, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \int_{B(x, r)} g(y) d\mu(y) \\ & \leq r^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)} + \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \int_{B(x, r)} g(y) \left( \frac{g(y)}{r^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)}} \right)^{p_\varepsilon(y)-1} d\mu(y) \\ & \leq r^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)} + Cr^{\nu(p_\varepsilon(x)-1)/p_\varepsilon(x)} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, kr))} \int_{B(x, r)} g(y)^{p_\varepsilon(y)} d\mu(y) \\ & \leq Cr^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

We denote by  $\chi_E$  the characteristic function of  $E$ .

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $j \geq j_0$ . Let  $f$  be a nonnegative function on  $X$  such that  $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; 2}(X)} \leq 1$ . Set  $g_j(y) = \varepsilon^\theta f(y) \chi_{B(x, 2^{j+1}r) \setminus B(x, 2^j r)}(y)$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < p^- - 1$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$M_2 g_j(z) \leq C 2^{-\nu j/p^+} r^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)}$$

for all  $z \in B(x, r)$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < p^- - 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z \in B(x, r)$ . Noting that  $g_j(y) = 0$  for  $y \in B(z, (2^j/a_1 - 1)r)$ , we have by Lemma 3.2 and (P2)

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 g_j(z) &= \sup_{t > (2^j/a_1 - 1)r} \frac{1}{\mu(B(z, 2t))} \int_{B(z, t)} g_j(y) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C \sup_{t > (2^j/a_1 - 1)r} t^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(z)} \\ &\leq C 2^{-\nu j/p^+} r^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

LEMMA 3.4 (cf. [30, Theorem 3.1]). Suppose that  $p_0(\cdot)$  is a function on  $X$  such that

$$1 < p_0^- := \inf_{x \in X} p_0(x) \leq \sup_{x \in X} p_0(x) =: p_0^+ < \infty$$

and

$$|p_0(x) - p_0(y)| \leq \frac{c_{p_0}}{\log(e + 1/\rho(x, y))}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and some constant  $c_{p_0} \geq 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  depending only on  $p_0^-, p_0^+, c_{p_0}$  and  $\mu(X)$  such that

$$\|M_2 f\|_{L^{p_0(\cdot)}(X)} \leq c_0 \|f\|_{L^{p_0(\cdot)}(X)}$$

for all  $f \in L^{p_0(\cdot)}(X)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Let  $f$  be a nonnegative function on  $X$  such that  $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; 2}(X)} \leq 1$ . Let  $x \in X, 0 < r < d_X$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < (p^- - 1)/2$  be fixed. Set  $g(y) = \varepsilon^\theta f(y)$ .

For positive integers  $j \geq j_0$ , set

$$g_j = g \chi_{B(x, 2^{j+1}r) \setminus B(x, 2^j r)}(y)$$

and  $g_0 = g \chi_{B(x, 2^{j_0} r)}(y)$ .

Here, we find by Lemmas 3.3 and 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_2 g_j\|_{L^{p_\varepsilon(\cdot)}(B(x, r))} &\leq C 2^{-\nu j/p^+} r^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)} \|1\|_{L^{p_\varepsilon(\cdot)}(B(x, r))} \\ &\leq C 2^{-\nu j/p^+} r^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)} \mu(B(x, r))^{1/p_\varepsilon(x)} \end{aligned}$$

for  $j \geq j_0$ . Since  $p_\varepsilon^- > (p^- + 1)/2 > 1$ , we see from Lemma 3.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|M_2 g\|_{L^{p_\varepsilon(\cdot)}(B(x, r))} \\ &\leq \|M_2 g_0\|_{L^{p_\varepsilon(\cdot)}(B(x, r))} + \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \|M_2 g_j\|_{L^{p_\varepsilon(\cdot)}(B(x, r))} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \|g_0\|_{L^{p_\varepsilon(\cdot)}(B(x, 2^{j_0} r))} + \mu(B(x, r))^{1/p_\varepsilon(x)} r^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)} \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} 2^{-\nu j/p^+} \right\} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \mu(B(x, 2^{j_0+1} r))^{1/p_\varepsilon(x)} (2^{j_0} r)^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)} + \mu(B(x, r))^{1/p_\varepsilon(x)} r^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)} \right\} \\ &\leq C \mu(B(x, 2^{j_0+1} r))^{1/p_\varepsilon(x)} r^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sup_{x \in X, 0 < r < d_X, 0 < \varepsilon < (p^- - 1)/2} \varepsilon^\theta \left( \frac{r^\nu}{\mu(B(x, 2^{j_0+1} r))} \right)^{1/p_\varepsilon(x)} \|M_2 f\|_{L^{p_\varepsilon(\cdot)}(B(x, r))} \leq C.$$

Hence, we obtain the required result by Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

## 4 Sobolev's inequality

Now we show the Sobolev type inequality for Riesz potentials in grand Morrey spaces of variable exponents over non-doubling measure spaces, as an extension of Meskhi [32, Theorems 5.3 and 5.4].

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Suppose  $1/p^*(x) = 1/p(x) - \alpha/\nu \geq 1/p^+ - \alpha/\nu > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|U_{\alpha,4}f\|_{L^{p^*(\cdot)-0,\nu,\theta;2^{j_0+1}}(X)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0,\nu,\theta;2}(X)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a nonnegative function on  $X$  such that  $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0,\nu,\theta;2}(X)} \leq 1$ . Let  $x \in X, 0 < r < d_X$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{p^- - 1, ((p^*)^- - 1)/\gamma\}$  be fixed, where

$$\gamma = \sup_{z \in X, 0 < \varepsilon < p^- - 1} (p_\varepsilon)^*(z)p^*(z)/(p_\varepsilon(z)p(z)).$$

For  $z \in B(x, r)$  and  $\delta > 0$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\alpha,4}f(z) &= \int_{B(z,\delta)} \frac{\rho(z,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(z,4\rho(z,y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) + \int_{X \setminus B(z,\delta)} \frac{\rho(z,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(z,4\rho(z,y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\ &= U_1(z) + U_2(z). \end{aligned}$$

First we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(z,2^{-j+1}\delta) \setminus B(z,2^{-j}\delta)} \frac{\rho(z,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(z,4\rho(z,y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(z,2^{-j+1}\delta)} \frac{(2^{-j+1}\delta)^\alpha}{\mu(B(z,2^{-j+2}\delta))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^{-j+1}\delta)^\alpha M_2 f(z) \\ &\leq C\delta^\alpha M_2 f(z). \end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $U_2$ , set  $g(y) = \varepsilon^\theta f(y)$ . Then we have by Lemma 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^\theta U_2(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{X \cap (B(z,2^j\delta) \setminus B(z,2^{j-1}\delta))} \frac{\rho(z,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(z,4\rho(z,y)))} g(y) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^j\delta)^\alpha \frac{1}{\mu(B(z,2^{j+1}\delta))} \int_{B(z,2^j\delta)} g(y) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^j\delta)^{\alpha-\nu/p_\varepsilon(z)} \\ &\leq C\delta^{\alpha-\nu/p_\varepsilon(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$U_{\alpha,4}g(z) \leq C \{ \delta^\alpha M_2 g(z) + \delta^{\alpha-\nu/p_\varepsilon(z)} \}.$$

Here, letting  $\delta = M_2g(z)^{-p_\varepsilon(z)/\nu}$ , we establish

$$U_{\alpha,4}g(z) \leq CM_2g(z)^{1-\alpha p_\varepsilon(z)/\nu}.$$

Now Theorem 3.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, 2^{j_0+1}r))} \int_{B(x,r)} \{\varepsilon^\theta U_{\alpha,4}f(z)\}^{(p_\varepsilon)^*(z)} d\mu(z) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\mu(B(x, 2^{j_0+1}r))} \int_{B(x,r)} \{M_2g(z)\}^{p_\varepsilon(z)} d\mu(z) \\ & \leq Cr^{-\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Here one sees that

$$(p_\varepsilon)^*(z) = p^*(z) - \frac{(p_\varepsilon)^*(z)p^*(z)}{p_\varepsilon(z)p(z)}\varepsilon.$$

Setting  $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \gamma\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, 2^{j_0+1}r))} \int_{B(x,r)} \{\tilde{\varepsilon}^\theta U_{\alpha,4}f(z)\}^{(p^*)_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(z)} d\mu(z) \\ & \leq C \left[ \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, 2^{j_0+1}r))} \int_{B(x,r)} \{\varepsilon^\theta U_{\alpha,4}f(z)\}^{(p_\varepsilon)^*(z)} d\mu(z) + 1 \right] \\ & \leq Cr^{-\nu} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ ,  $0 < r < d_X$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{p^- - 1, ((p^*)^- - 1)/\gamma\}$ , so that we obtain the required result by Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

## 5 Exponential integrability

In this section, we assume that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in X} (1/p(x) - \alpha/\nu) \leq 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Our aim in this section is to give an exponential integrability of Trudinger type. Recall that  $j_0$  is the smallest integer satisfying  $2^{j_0} > a_1$ , where  $a_1 > 0$  is the constant in  $(\rho 3)$ . Set

$$k_0 = \max\{2a_0a_1(a_0 + 1), a_1^2(a_0 + 2^{j_0+1})/(2^{j_0} - a_1), 2\},$$

where  $a_0 \geq 1$  is the constant in  $(\rho 2)$ .

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $0 < \eta < \alpha$ . Suppose that (5.1) holds. Then there exist constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B(z, 2^{j_0}r))} \int_{B(z,r)} \exp(c_1 U_{\alpha,k_0}f(x)^{1/(\theta+1)}) d\mu(x) \leq c_2 r^{\eta-\alpha}$$

for all  $z \in X$  and  $0 < r < d_X$ , whenever  $f$  is a nonnegative measurable function on  $X$  satisfying  $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0,\nu,\theta;1}(X)} \leq 1$ .

To prove the theorem, we prepare some lemmas.

LEMMA 5.2. Let  $k \geq 2, \theta > 0$  and  $0 < \eta < \alpha$ . Let  $f$  be a nonnegative function on  $X$  such that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y) d\mu(y) \leq Cr^{-\alpha}(\log(e + 1/r))^\theta. \quad (5.2)$$

Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\int_{X \setminus B(x, \delta)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^\eta}{\mu(B(x, k\rho(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \leq C\delta^{\eta-\alpha}(\log(e + 1/\delta))^\theta$$

for  $x \in X$  and  $\delta > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a nonnegative function on  $X$  satisfying (5.2). We choose the smallest integer  $j_1$  such that  $2^{j_1} \delta \geq d_X$ . We have by (5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{X \setminus B(x, \delta)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^\eta}{\mu(B(x, k\rho(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{j_1} \int_{B(x, 2^j \delta) \setminus B(x, 2^{j-1} \delta)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^\eta}{\mu(B(x, k\rho(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{j_1} (2^j \delta)^\eta \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, 2^{j-1} k \delta))} \int_{B(x, 2^j \delta)} f(y) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{j_1} (2^j \delta)^{\eta-\alpha} (\log(e + 1/(2^j \delta)))^\theta \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{j_1} \int_{2^{j-1} \delta}^{2^j \delta} t^{\eta-\alpha} (\log(e + 1/t))^\theta \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq C \int_{\delta}^{2d_X} t^{\eta-\alpha} (\log(e + 1/t))^\theta \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Hence we find by  $\eta < \alpha$

$$\int_{X \setminus B(x, \delta)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^\eta}{\mu(B(x, k\rho(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \leq C\delta^{\eta-\alpha}(\log(e + 1/\delta))^\theta,$$

as required.  $\square$

LEMMA 5.3. Let  $0 < \eta < \alpha$ . Let  $f$  be a nonnegative function on  $X$  satisfying (5.2). Define

$$I_\eta f(x) = \int_X \frac{\rho(x, y)^\eta}{\mu(B(x, k_0 \rho(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y).$$

Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B(z, 2^{j_0} r))} \int_{B(z, r)} I_\eta f(x) d\mu(x) \leq Cr^{\eta-\alpha}(\log(e + 1/r))^\theta$$

for all  $z \in X$  and  $0 < r < d_X$ .

*Proof.* Write

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_\eta f(x) \\
&= \int_{B(z, 2^{j_0}r)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^\eta}{\mu(B(x, k_0\rho(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) + \int_{X \setminus B(z, 2^{j_0}r)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^\eta}{\mu(B(x, k_0\rho(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&= I_1(x) + I_2(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $a = a_1(2^{j_0}a_0 + 1)$ . By Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(z, r)} I_1(x) d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_{B(z, 2^{j_0}r)} \left( \int_{B(z, r)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^\eta}{\mu(B(x, k_0\rho(x, y)))} d\mu(x) \right) f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq \int_{B(z, 2^{j_0}r)} \left( \int_{B(y, ar)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^\eta}{\mu(B(x, k_0\rho(x, y)))} d\mu(x) \right) f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&= \int_{B(z, 2^{j_0}r)} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{B(y, 2^{-j}ar) \setminus B(y, 2^{-j-1}ar)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^\eta}{\mu(B(x, k_0\rho(x, y)))} d\mu(x) \right) f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq \int_{B(z, 2^{j_0}r)} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{B(y, 2^{-j}ar) \setminus B(y, 2^{-j-1}ar)} \frac{(2^{-j}a_0ar)^\eta}{\mu(B(x, 2^{-j-1}a_0^{-1}k_0ar))} d\mu(x) \right) f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq \int_{B(z, 2^{j_0}r)} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{B(y, 2^{-j}ar) \setminus B(y, 2^{-j-1}ar)} \frac{(2^{-j}a_0ar)^\eta}{\mu(B(y, 2^{-j}ar))} d\mu(x) \right) f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq \int_{B(z, 2^{j_0}r)} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{-j}a_0ar)^\eta \right) f(y) d\mu(y),
\end{aligned}$$

since  $B(y, 2^{-j}ar) \subset B(x, 2^{-j-1}a_0^{-1}k_0ar)$  by the fact that  $k_0 \geq 2a_0a_1(a_0 + 1)$ . Using  $\eta > 0$  and (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(z, r)} I_1(x) d\mu(x) &\leq C \int_{B(z, 2^{j_0}r)} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^{-j}r)^\eta \right) f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq Cr^\eta \int_{B(z, 2^{j_0}r)} f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq Cr^\eta \mu(B(z, 2^{j_0}r)) (2^{j_0}r)^{-\alpha} (\log(e + 1/(2^{j_0}r)))^\theta \\
&\leq Cr^{\eta-\alpha} (\log(e + 1/r))^\theta \mu(B(z, 2^{j_0}r)).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $x \in B(z, r)$  and  $y \in X \setminus B(z, 2^{j_0}r)$ , we obtain

$$\rho(z, y) \leq \frac{a_1 2^{j_0}}{2^{j_0} - a_1} \rho(x, y). \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\rho(x, z) \leq \frac{a_0 a_1}{2^{j_0} - a_1} \rho(x, y) \tag{5.5}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\rho(z, y) \leq a_1(\rho(z, x) + \rho(x, y)) \leq a_1(r + \rho(x, y)) \leq a_1(2^{-j_0}\rho(z, y) + \rho(x, y)),$$

which yields (5.4). Also we have

$$\rho(x, z) \leq a_0 \rho(z, x) \leq a_0 r \leq a_0 2^{-j_0} \rho(z, y),$$

which implies (5.5) by (5.4). In view of (5.4), (5.5) and the fact that  $k_0 \geq a_1^2(a_0 + 2^{j_0+1})/(2^{j_0} - a_1)$ , we have  $B(z, 2\rho(z, y)) \subset B(x, k_0\rho(x, y))$ . Further, we note

$$\rho(x, y) \leq a_1 (a_0 2^{-j_0} + 1) \rho(z, y)$$

for  $x \in B(z, r)$  and  $y \in X \setminus B(z, 2^{j_0}r)$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x) &\leq C \int_{X \setminus B(z, 2^{j_0}r)} \frac{\rho(z, y)^\eta}{\mu(B(x, k_0\rho(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C \int_{X \setminus B(z, 2^{j_0}r)} \frac{\rho(z, y)^\eta}{\mu(B(z, 2\rho(z, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in B(z, r)$ . Hence we have by Lemma 5.2

$$I_2(x) \leq Cr^{\eta-\alpha} (\log(e + 1/r))^\theta.$$

Thus this lemma is proved.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* Let  $f$  be a nonnegative measurable function on  $X$  satisfying  $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \nu, \theta; 1}(X)} \leq 1$ . Set  $g(y) = \varepsilon^\theta f(y)$ . Then we have by Lemma 3.2 and (5.1)

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} g(y) d\mu(y) \leq Cr^{-\nu/p_\varepsilon(x)} \leq Cr^{-\alpha p(x)/p_\varepsilon(x)}.$$

Here we take  $\varepsilon = (p^- - 1)(\log(e + 1/r))^{-1}$  and obtain

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y) d\mu(y) \leq Cr^{-\alpha} (\log(e + 1/r))^\theta \quad (5.6)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $0 < r < d_X$ , which is nothing but (5.2). For  $x \in B(z, r)$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $0 < \eta < \alpha$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} &U_{\alpha, k_0} f(x) \\ &= \int_{B(x, \delta)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x, k_0\rho(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) + \int_{X \setminus B(x, \delta)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x, k_0\rho(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \delta^{\alpha-\eta} I_\eta f(x) + U_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of (5.3), it follows that

$$U_2(x) \leq C \int_\delta^{2d_X} (\log(e + 1/t))^\theta \frac{dt}{t} \leq C (\log(e + 1/\delta))^{\theta+1},$$

which gives

$$U_{\alpha, k_0} f(x) \leq C \{ \delta^{\alpha-\eta} I_\eta f(x) + (\log(e + 1/\delta))^{\theta+1} \}.$$

Here, letting ,

$$\delta = \{ I_\eta f(x) \}^{-1/(\alpha-\eta)} (\log(e + I_\eta f(x)))^{(\theta+1)/(\alpha-\eta)},$$

we have the inequality

$$U_{\alpha,k_0}f(x) \leq C(\log(e + I_\eta f(x)))^{\theta+1}.$$

Then, in view of Lemma 5.3, there exist constants  $c_1, c_3 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mu(B(z, 2^{j_0}r))} \int_{B(z,r)} \exp(c_1 U_{\alpha,k_0}f(x)^{1/(\theta+1)}) d\mu(x) \\ & \leq C \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(B(z, 2^{j_0}r))} \int_{B(z,r)} I_\eta f(x) d\mu(x) + 1 \right\} \\ & \leq c_3 r^{\eta-\alpha} (\log(e + 1/r))^\theta \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z \in X$  and  $0 < r < d_X$ . Since  $c_3 r^{\eta-\alpha} (\log(e + 1/r))^\theta \leq c_2 r^{\eta'-\alpha}$  for all  $0 < r < d_X$  and some constant  $c_2 > 0$  when  $0 < \eta' < \eta$ , the proof of the present theorem is completed.  $\square$

## 6 Continuity

In this section, we assume that there exist constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $0 < \sigma \leq 1$  such that

$$\left| \frac{\rho(x, y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x, 2\rho(x, y)))} - \frac{\rho(z, y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(z, 2\rho(z, y)))} \right| \leq C_1 \left( \frac{\rho(x, z)}{\rho(x, y)} \right)^\sigma \frac{\rho(x, y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x, 2\rho(x, y)))} \quad (6.1)$$

whenever  $\rho(x, z) \leq \rho(x, y)/2$ .

Let  $\omega(\cdot)$  be a positive function on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying the doubling condition

$$\omega(2r) \leq C_2 \omega(r) \quad \text{for all } r > 0$$

and

$$\omega(s) \leq C_3 \omega(t) \quad \text{whenever } 0 < s \leq t,$$

where  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are positive constants. Then, in view of (2.2), one can find constants  $Q > 0$  and  $C_Q > 0$  such that

$$\omega(r) \geq C_Q r^Q \quad (6.2)$$

for all  $0 < r < d_X$ .

In this section, for  $\theta > 0$ , we consider the space  $L^{p(\cdot)-0, \omega, \theta}(X)$  of locally integrable functions  $f$  on  $X$  satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \omega, \theta}(X)} = \sup_{x \in X, 0 < r < d_X, 0 < \varepsilon < p^- - 1} \varepsilon^\theta \left( \frac{\omega(r)}{\mu(B(x, r))} \right)^{1/p_\varepsilon(x)} \|f\|_{L^{p_\varepsilon(\cdot)}(B(x, r))} < \infty.$$

Set

$$\Omega_*(x, r) = \int_0^r t^\alpha \omega(t)^{-1/p(x)} (\log(e + 1/t))^\theta \frac{dt}{t}$$

and

$$\Omega^*(x, r) = \int_r^{2d_X} t^{\alpha-\sigma} \omega(t)^{-1/p(x)} (\log(e + 1/t))^\theta \frac{dt}{t}$$

for  $x \in X$  and  $0 < r < d_X$ .

EXAMPLE 6.1. Let  $\omega(r) = r^\nu(\log(e+1/r))^\beta$ . If  $p^- \geq \nu/\alpha$  and  $\text{ess sup}_{x \in X}(-\beta/p(x) + \theta + 1) < 0$ , then

$$\Omega_*(x, r) + r^\sigma \Omega^*(x, r) \leq C(\log(e + 1/r))^{-\beta/p(x) + \theta + 1}$$

for  $x \in X$  and  $0 < r < d_X$ .

Our final goal is to establish the following result, which deals with the continuity for Riesz potentials of functions in grand Morrey spaces of variable exponents over non-doubling measure spaces.

THEOREM 6.2. *Suppose that (6.1) holds. Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$|U_{\alpha,2}f(x) - U_{\alpha,2}f(z)| \leq C \{ \Omega_*(x, \rho(x, z)) + \Omega_*(z, \rho(x, z)) + \rho(x, z)^\sigma \Omega^*(x, \rho(x, z)) \}$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ , whenever  $f$  is a nonnegative measurable function on  $X$  satisfying  $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \omega, \theta}(X)} \leq 1$ .

Before the proof of Theorem 6.2, we prepare some lemmas.

Since

$$\omega(r)^{-|p(x)-p(y)|} \leq Cr^{-Q|p(x)-p(y)|} \leq C$$

for all  $y \in B(x, r)$  by (6.2) and (P2), we can show the following result in the same manner as Lemma 3.2 and (5.6).

LEMMA 6.3. *Let  $f$  be a nonnegative function on  $X$  such that  $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \omega, \theta}(X)} \leq 1$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y) d\mu(y) \leq C\omega(r)^{-1/p(x)}(\log(e + 1/r))^\theta$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $0 < r < d_X$ .

LEMMA 6.4. *Let  $f$  be a nonnegative function on  $X$  such that  $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0, \omega, \theta}(X)} \leq 1$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\int_{B(x, \delta)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x, 2\rho(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \leq C\Omega_*(x, \delta)$$

and

$$\int_{G \setminus B(x, \delta)} \frac{\rho(x, y)^{\alpha-\sigma}}{\mu(B(x, 2\rho(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \leq C\Omega^*(x, \delta)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $0 < \delta < d_X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a nonnegative function on  $X$  such that  $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0,\omega,\theta}(X)} \leq 1$ . We show only the first case. As in the proof of (5.3), we have by Lemma 6.3

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(x,\delta)} \frac{\rho(x,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x,2\rho(x,y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(x,2^{-j+1}\delta) \setminus B(x,2^{-j}\delta)} \frac{\rho(x,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x,2\rho(x,y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^{-j+1}\delta)^\alpha \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,2^{-j+1}\delta))} \int_{B(x,2^{-j+1}\delta)} f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^{-j+1}\delta)^\alpha \omega(2^{-j+1}\delta)^{-1/p(x)} (\log(e + 1/(2^{-j+1}\delta)))^\theta \\
&\leq C \int_0^\delta t^\alpha \omega(t)^{-1/p(x)} (\log(e + 1/t))^\theta \frac{dt}{t} = C\Omega_*(x, \delta),
\end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.2.* Let  $f$  be a nonnegative measurable function on  $X$  satisfying  $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)-0,\omega,\theta}(X)} \leq 1$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned}
& U_{\alpha,2}f(x) - U_{\alpha,2}f(z) \\
&= \int_{B(x,2\rho(x,z))} \frac{\rho(x,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x,2\rho(x,y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) - \int_{B(z,2\rho(z,y))} \frac{\rho(z,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(z,2\rho(z,y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&\quad + \int_{X \setminus B(x,2\rho(x,z))} \left( \frac{\rho(x,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x,2\rho(x,y)))} - \frac{\rho(z,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(z,2\rho(z,y)))} \right) f(y) d\mu(y)
\end{aligned}$$

for  $x, z \in X$ . Using Lemma 6.4, we have

$$\int_{B(x,2\rho(x,z))} \frac{\rho(x,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x,2\rho(x,y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \leq C\Omega_*(x, 2\rho(x,z)) \leq C\Omega_*(x, \rho(x,z))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(z,2\rho(z,y))} \frac{\rho(z,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(z,2\rho(z,y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) &\leq \int_{B(z,a_1(a_0+2)\rho(x,z))} \frac{\rho(z,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(z,2\rho(z,y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq C\Omega_*(z, a_1(a_0+2)\rho(x,z)) \leq C\Omega_*(z, \rho(x,z)).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (6.1) and Lemma 6.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X \setminus B(x,2\rho(x,z))} \left| \frac{\rho(x,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x,2\rho(x,y)))} - \frac{\rho(z,y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(z,2\rho(z,y)))} \right| f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq C_1 \rho(x,z)^\sigma \int_{X \setminus B(x,2\rho(x,z))} \frac{\rho(x,y)^{\alpha-\sigma}}{\mu(B(x,2\rho(x,y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq C \rho(x,z)^\sigma \Omega^*(x, 2\rho(x,z)) \\
&\leq C \rho(x,z)^\sigma \Omega^*(x, \rho(x,z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the conclusion.  $\square$

## References

- [1] D. R. Adams, A note on Riesz potentials, *Duke Math. J.* **42** (1975), 765–778.
- [2] D. R. Adams and L. I. Hedberg, *Function spaces and potential theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1996.
- [3] A. Almeida, J. Hasanov and S. Samko, Maximal and potential operators in variable exponent Morrey spaces, *Georgian Math. J.* **15** (2008), 195–208.
- [4] B. Bojarski and P. Hajlasz, Pointwise inequalities for Sobolev functions and some applications, *Studia Math.* **106**(1) (1993), 77–92.
- [5] F. Chiarenza and M. Frasca, Morrey spaces and Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, *Rend. Mat. Appl.* **7**(7) (1987), 273–279.
- [6] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza and C. J. Neugebauer, The maximal function on variable  $L^p$  spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **28** (2003), 223–238; *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **29** (2004), 247–249.
- [7] L. Diening, Maximal functions in generalized  $L^{p(\cdot)}$  spaces, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **7**(2) (2004), 245–254.
- [8] L. Diening, Riesz potentials and Sobolev embeddings on generalized Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces  $L^{p(\cdot)}$  and  $W^{k,p(\cdot)}$ , *Math. Nachr.* **263**(1) (2004), 31–43.
- [9] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Růžička, *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2017, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [10] D. E. Edmunds, P. Gurka and B. Opic, Double exponential integrability, Bessel potentials and embedding theorems, *Studia Math.* **115** (1995), 151–181.
- [11] D. E. Edmunds, P. Gurka and B. Opic, Sharpness of embeddings in logarithmic Bessel-potential spaces, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh.* **126** (1996), 995–1009.
- [12] D. E. Edmunds and R. Hurri-Syrjänen, Sobolev inequalities of exponential type, *Israel. J. Math.* **123** (2001), 61–92.
- [13] D. E. Edmunds and M. Krbeč, Two limiting cases of Sobolev imbeddings, *Houston J. Math.* **21** (1995), 119–128.
- [14] A. Fiorenza, B. Gupta and P. Jain, The maximal theorem in weighted grand Lebesgue spaces, *Stud. Math.* **188**(2) (2008), 123–133.
- [15] A. Fiorenza and M. Krbeč, On the domain and range of the maximal operator, *Nagoya Math. J.* **158** (2000), 43–61.
- [16] T. Futamura and Y. Mizuta, Continuity properties of Riesz potentials for functions in  $L^{p(\cdot)}$  of variable exponent, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **8**(4) (2005), 619–631.

- [17] T. Futamura, Y. Mizuta and T. Shimomura, Sobolev embedding for variable exponent Riesz potentials on metric spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **31** (2006), 495–522.
- [18] T. Futamura, Y. Mizuta and T. Shimomura, Integrability of maximal functions and Riesz potentials in Orlicz spaces of variable exponent, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **366** (2010), 391–417.
- [19] A. Fiorenza and C. Sbordone, Existence and uniqueness results for solutions of nonlinear equations with right hand side in  $L^1$ , *Studia Math.* **127**(3) (1998), 223–231.
- [20] L. Greco, T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, Inverting the  $p$ -harmonic operator, *Manuscripta Math.* **92** (1997), 249–258.
- [21] V. S. Guliyev, J. Hasanov and S. Samko, Boundedness of the maximal, potential and singular operators in the generalized variable exponent Morrey spaces, *Math. Scand.* **107** (2010), 285–304.
- [22] V. S. Guliyev, J. Hasanov and S. Samko, Boundedness of the maximal, potential and Singular integral operators in the generalized variable exponent Morrey type spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **170** (2010), no. 4, 423–443.
- [23] H. Gunawan, Y. Sawano and I. Sihwaningrum, Fractional integral operators in nonhomogeneous spaces, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **80** (2009), no. 2, 324–334.
- [24] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Pere, Variable exponent Lebesgue spaces on metric spaces: the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, *Real Anal. Exchange* **30** (2004/2005), 87–104.
- [25] L. I. Hedberg, On certain convolution inequalities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **36** (1972), 505–510.
- [26] P. Hajlasz and P. Koskela, *Sobolev met Poincaré*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145**, 2000.
- [27] T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, On the integrability of the Jacobian under minimal hypotheses, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **119** (1992), 129–143.
- [28] T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, Riesz Transforms and elliptic pde's with VMO coefficients, *J. Analyse Math.* **74** (1998), 183–212.
- [29] J. Kinnunen, The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of a Sobolev function, *Israel J. Math.* **100** (1997), 117–124.
- [30] V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi, Maximal functions and potentials in variable exponent Morrey spaces with non-doubling measure, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **55** (2010), no. 8-10, 923–936.
- [31] V. Kokilashvili and S. Samko, Boundedness of weighted singular integral operators in grand Lebesgue spaces, *Georgian Math. J.* **18** (2011), no. 2, 259–269.

- [32] A. Meskhi, Maximal functions, potentials and singular integrals in grand Morrey spaces, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **56** (2011), no. 10-11, 1003–1019.
- [33] Y. Mizuta, E. Nakai, T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, Boundedness of fractional integral operators on Morrey spaces and Sobolev embeddings for generalized Riesz potentials, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **62** (2010), 707–744.
- [34] Y. Mizuta, E. Nakai, T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, Riesz potentials and Sobolev embeddings on Morrey spaces of variable exponent, *Complex Vari. Elliptic Equ.* **56**, No. 7-9, (2011), 671–695.
- [35] Y. Mizuta and T. Shimomura, Sobolev embeddings for Riesz potentials of functions in Morrey spaces of variable exponent, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **60** (2008), 583–602.
- [36] Y. Mizuta and T. Shimomura, Continuity properties of Riesz potentials of Orlicz functions, *Tohoku Math. J.* **61** (2009), 225–240.
- [37] C. B. Morrey, On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **43** (1938), 126–166.
- [38] E. Nakai, Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and the Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces, *Math. Nachr.* **166** (1994), 95–103.
- [39] J. Peetre, On the theory of  $L_{p,\lambda}$  spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **4** (1969), 71–87.
- [40] Y. Sawano, Generalized Morrey spaces for non-doubling measures, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **15** (2008), no. 4-5, 413–425.
- [41] Y. Sawano and H. Tanaka, Morrey spaces for non-doubling measures, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **21** (2005), 1535–1544.
- [42] J. Serrin, A remark on Morrey potential, *Contemp. Math.* **426** (2007), 307–315.
- [43] C. Sbordone, Grand Sobolev spaces and their applications to variational problems, *Le Matematiche* **LI(2)** (1996), 335–347.
- [44] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [45] N. Trudinger, On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, *J. Math. Mech.* **17** (1967), 473–483.
- [46] W. P. Ziemer, *Weakly differentiable functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

*Faculty of Education and Welfare Science  
Oita University  
DannoHaru Oita-city 870-1192, Japan  
E-mail : t-ohno@oita-u.ac.jp*  
*and*  
*Department of Mathematics  
Graduate School of Education  
Hiroshima University  
Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8524, Japan  
E-mail : tshimo@hiroshima-u.ac.jp*