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#### Abstract

In this paper we are concerned with Trudinger's inequality and continuity for Riesz potentials of functions in Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces on metric measure spaces.


## 1 Introduction

A famous Trudinger inequality ([42]) insists that Sobolev functions in $W^{1, N}(G)$ satisfy finite exponential integrability, where $G$ is an open bounded set in $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ (see also [2], [5], [36], [43]). For $0<\alpha<N$, we define the Riesz potential of order $\alpha$ for a locally integrable function $f$ on $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ by

$$
U_{\alpha} f(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}|x-y|^{\alpha-N} f(y) d y .
$$

Great progress on Trudinger type inequalities has been made for Riesz potentials of order $\alpha$ in the limiting case $\alpha p=N$ (see e.g. [8], [9], [10], [11], [41]). Trudinger type exponential integrability was studied on Orlicz spaces in [3], [28] and [32], on generalized Morrey spaces $L^{1, \varphi}$ in [23] and [24], and on Orlicz-Morrey spaces in [33] and [38]. For Morrey spaces, which were introduced to estimate solutions of partial differential equations, we refer to [35] and [40].

Variable exponent Lebesgue spaces and Sobolev spaces were introduced to discuss nonlinear partial differential equations with non-standard growth condition. For a survey, see [6] and [7]. Trudinger type exponential integrability was investigated on variable exponent Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$ in [12], [13] and [14] and on two variable exponents spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\log L)^{q(\cdot)}$ in [27]. See also [26] for two variable exponents spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\log L)^{q(\cdot)}$.

[^0]For $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$ and $r>0$, we denote by $B(x, r)$ the open ball centered at $x$ with radius $r$ and $d_{\Omega}=\sup \{d(x, y): x, y \in \Omega\}$ for a set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$. For bounded measurable functions $\nu(\cdot): \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow(0, N]$ and $\beta(\cdot): \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, let $L^{p(\cdot), q(\cdot), \nu(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)}(G)$ be the set of all measurable functions $f$ on $G$ such that $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot), q(\cdot), \nu(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)}(G)}<\infty$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot), q(\cdot), \nu(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)}(G)}=\inf \{\lambda> 0 \\
& \sup _{x \in G, 0<r \leq d_{G}} \frac{r^{\nu(x)}(\log (e+1 / r))^{\beta(x)}}{|B(x, r)|} \\
&\left.\times \int_{B(x, r)}\left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(y)}\left(\log \left(e+\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\right)\right)^{q(y)} d y \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

we set $f=0$ outside $G$. As an extension of Trudinger [42] and [24, Corollaries 4.6 and 4.8], Mizuta, Nakai and the authors [25] proved Trudinger type exponential integrability for two variable exponent Morrey spaces $L^{p(\cdot), q(\cdot), \nu(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)}(G)$ when $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ are variable exponents satisfying the log-Hölder and loglog-Hölder conditions on $G$, respectively. The result is an improvement of [31, Theorems 4.4 and 4.5]. In fact we proved the following:

Theorem A. Suppose $\inf _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}} \nu(x)>0$ and $\inf _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}}(\alpha-\nu(x) / p(x)) \geq 0$ hold. Let $\varepsilon$ be a constant such that

$$
\inf _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}}(\nu(x) / p(x)-\varepsilon)>0 \text { and } 0<\varepsilon<\alpha
$$

Then there exist constants $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that
(1) in case $\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}}(q(x)+\beta(x)) / p(x)<1$,

$$
\frac{r^{\nu / p(z)-\varepsilon}}{|B(z, r)|} \int_{B(z, r)} \exp \left(\frac{\left|U_{\alpha} f(x)\right|^{p(x) /(p(x)-q(x)-\beta(x))}}{C_{1}}\right) d x \leq C_{2}
$$

(2) in case $\inf _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}}(q(x)+\beta(x)) / p(x) \geq 1$,

$$
\frac{r^{\nu / p(z)-\varepsilon}}{|B(z, r)|} \int_{B(z, r)} \exp \left(\exp \left(\frac{\left|U_{\alpha} f(x)\right|}{C_{1}}\right)\right) d x \leq C_{2}
$$

for all $z \in G, 0<r<d_{G}$ and $f$ satisfying $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot), q(\cdot), \nu(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)}(G)} \leq 1$.
Recently, Theorem A was extended to Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces in [20]. Our main aim in this paper is to give a general version of Trudinger type exponential integrability for Riesz potentials $I_{\alpha} f$ of functions in Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces $L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)$ on metric measure spaces $X$ (e.g., Corollary 4.6) as an extension of the above results (see Section 2 for the definitions of $\Phi$ and $\kappa$ and Section 3 for the definition of $I_{\alpha} f$ ). Since we discuss the Morrey version, our strategy is to find an estimate of Riesz potentials by use of Riesz potentials of order $\varepsilon$, which plays a role of the maximal functions (see Section 3). What is new about this paper is that we can pass our results to the metric measure setting; the technique in [20] still works.

Beginning with Sobolev's embedding theorem (see e.g. [1], [2]), continuity properties of Riesz potentials or Sobolev functions have been studied by many
authors. Continuity of Riesz potentials of functions in Orlicz spaces was studied in [11], [21], [22], [29] and [32] (cf. also [30]). Then such continuity was investigated on generalized Morrey spaces $L^{1, \varphi}$ in [23] and [24], on Orlicz-Morrey spaces in [34], on variable exponent Lebesgue spaces in [12], [13] and [16] and on variable exponent Morrey spaces in [34]. In [25], Mizuta, Nakai and the authors also proved continuity for Riesz potentials of functions in two variable exponent Morrey spaces $L^{p(\cdot), q(\cdot), \nu(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)}(G)$.

In [20], these results have been extended to Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces. Our second aim in this paper is to give a general version of continuity for Riesz potentials $I_{\alpha} f$ of functions in Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces $L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)$ on metric measure spaces (e.g., Corollary 5.6) as an extension of the above results.

In [39], we established Trudinger type exponential integrability for MusielakOrlicz spaces in the Euclidean setting by use of the maximal functions, which are a crucial tool as in Hedberg [18]. Our third aim in this paper is to give a general version of Trudinger type exponential integrability for Riesz potentials $I_{\alpha} f$ of functions in Musielak-Orlicz spaces $L^{\Phi}(X)$ on metric measure spaces (e.g., Corollary 7.2) as an extension of [13], [17] and [39]. To obtain our results, we need the boundedness of maximal operator on $L^{\Phi}(X)$ (see Lemma 6.1).

In the final section, we show the continuity for Riesz potentials $I_{\alpha} f$ of functions in Musielak-Orlicz spaces $L^{\Phi}(X)$ on metric measure spaces (see Corollary 8.2).

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, let $C$ denote various constants independent of the variables in question.

We denote by $(X, d, \mu)$ a metric measure space, where $X$ is a set, $d$ is a metric on $X$ and $\mu$ is a nonnegative complete Borel regular outer measure on $X$ which is finite in every bounded set. For simplicity, we often write $X$ instead of $(X, d, \mu)$. For $x \in X$ and $r>0$, we denote by $B(x, r)$ the open ball centered at $x$ with radius $r$ and $d_{\Omega}=\sup \{d(x, y): x, y \in \Omega\}$ for a set $\Omega \subset X$.

We say that the measure $\mu$ is a doubling measure if there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that $\mu(B(x, 2 r)) \leq c_{0} \mu(B(x, r))$ for every $x \in X$ and $0<r<d_{X}$. We say that $X$ is a doubling space if $\mu$ is a doubling measure.

In this paper, we assume that $X$ is a bounded set and a doubling space, that is $d_{X}<\infty$. This implies that $\mu(X)<\infty$.

We consider a function

$$
\Phi(x, t)=t \phi(x, t): X \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)
$$

satisfying the following conditions $(\Phi 1)-(\Phi 4)$ :
( $\Phi 1$ ) $\phi(\cdot, t)$ is measurable on $X$ for each $t \geq 0$ and $\phi(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ for each $x \in X$;
( $\Phi 2$ ) there exists a constant $A_{1} \geq 1$ such that

$$
A_{1}^{-1} \leq \phi(x, 1) \leq A_{1} \quad \text { for all } x \in X
$$

(Ф3) $\quad \phi(x, \cdot)$ is uniformly almost increasing, namely there exists a constant $A_{2} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\phi(x, t) \leq A_{2} \phi(x, s) \quad \text { for all } x \in X \quad \text { whenever } 0 \leq t<s ;
$$

( $\Phi 4$ ) there exists a constant $A_{3} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\phi(x, 2 t) \leq A_{3} \phi(x, t) \quad \text { for all } x \in X \text { and } t>0 .
$$

Note that ( $\Phi 2$ ), ( $\Phi 3$ ) and ( $\Phi 4$ ) imply

$$
0<\inf _{x \in X} \phi(x, t) \leq \sup _{x \in X} \phi(x, t)<\infty
$$

for each $t>0$.
If $\Phi(x, \cdot)$ is convex for each $x \in X$, then ( $\Phi 3$ ) holds with $A_{2}=1$; namely $\phi(x, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing for each $x \in X$.

Let $\bar{\phi}(x, t)=\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} \phi(x, s)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Phi}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} \bar{\phi}(x, r) d r \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in X$ and $t \geq 0$. Then $\bar{\Phi}(x, \cdot)$ is convex and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 A_{3}} \Phi(x, t) \leq \bar{\Phi}(x, t) \leq A_{2} \Phi(x, t) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t \geq 0$.
We shall also consider the following condition:
( $\Phi 5$ ) for every $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}>0$, there exists a constant $B_{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\phi(x, t) \leq B_{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}} \phi(y, t)
$$

whenever $d(x, y) \leq \gamma_{1} t^{-1 / \gamma_{2}}$ and $t \geq 1$.
Example 2.1. Let $p(\cdot)$ and $q_{j}(\cdot), j=1, \ldots, k$, be measurable functions on $X$ such that
(P1) $1<p^{-}:=\inf _{x \in X} p(x) \leq \sup _{x \in X} p(x)=: p^{+}<\infty$
and
(Q1) $-\infty<q_{j}^{-}:=\inf _{x \in X} q_{j}(x) \leq \sup _{x \in X} q_{j}(x)=: q_{j}^{+}<\infty$
for all $j=1, \ldots, k$.
Set $L_{c}(t)=\log (c+t)$ for $c \geq e$ and $t \geq 0, L_{c}^{(1)}(t)=L_{c}(t), L_{c}^{(j+1)}(t)=L_{c}\left(L_{c}^{(j)}(t)\right)$ and

$$
\Phi(x, t)=t^{p(x)} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(L_{c}^{(j)}(t)\right)^{q_{j}(x)} .
$$

Then, $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 1$ ), ( $\Phi 2$ ), ( $\Phi 3$ ) and ( $\Phi 4$ ).
Moreover, we see that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ) if
(P2) $p(\cdot)$ is $\log$-Hölder continuous, namely

$$
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq \frac{C_{p}}{L_{e}(1 / d(x, y))}
$$

with a constant $C_{p} \geq 0$ and
(Q2) $q_{j}(\cdot)$ is $j+1$-log-Hölder continuous, namely

$$
\left|q_{j}(x)-q_{j}(y)\right| \leq \frac{C_{q_{j}}}{L_{e}^{(j+1)}(1 / d(x, y))}
$$

with constants $C_{q_{j}} \geq 0, j=1, \ldots k$.
Example 2.2. Let $p(\cdot)$ be a measurable function on $X$ satisfying ( P 1 ) and ( P 2 ). Let $q_{1}(\cdot)$ be a measurable function on $X$ satisfying (Q1) and (Q2) and let $q_{2}(\cdot)$ be a measurable function on $X$ satisfying (Q1). Then

$$
\Phi(x, t)=t^{p(x)}(\log (e+t))^{q_{1}(x)}(\log (e+1 / t))^{q_{2}(x)}
$$

satisfies ( $\Phi 1$ ), ( $\Phi 2$ ), ( $\Phi 3$ ), ( $\Phi 4$ ) and ( $\Phi 5$ ).
In view of (2.2), given $\Phi(x, t)$ as above, the associated Musielak-Orlicz space

$$
L^{\Phi}(X)=\left\{f \in L_{l o c}^{1}(X) ; \int_{X} \Phi(y,|f(y)|) d \mu(y)<\infty\right\}
$$

is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$
\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(X)}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0 ; \int_{X} \bar{\Phi}(y,|f(y)| / \lambda) d \mu(y) \leq 1\right\}
$$

(cf. [37]).
We also consider a function $\kappa(x, r): X \times\left(0, d_{X}\right] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:
$(\kappa 1) \kappa(x, \cdot)$ is measurable for each $x \in X$;
( $\kappa 2$ ) $\kappa(x, \cdot)$ is uniformly almost increasing on $\left(0, d_{X}\right]$, namely there exists a constant $Q_{1} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\kappa(x, r) \leq Q_{1} \kappa(x, s)
$$

for all $x \in X$ whenever $0<r<s \leq d_{X}$;
$(\kappa 3)$ there are constants $Q>0$ and $Q_{2} \geq 1$ such that

$$
Q_{2}^{-1} \min \left(1, r^{Q}\right) \leq \kappa(x, r) \leq Q_{2}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0<r \leq d_{X}$.

Example 2.3. For $Q>0$, let $\nu(\cdot)$ and $\beta_{j}(\cdot), j=1, \ldots k$ be measurable functions on $X$ such that $\inf _{x \in X} \nu(x)>0, \sup _{x \in X} \nu(x) \leq Q$ and $-c(Q-\nu(x)) \leq \beta_{j}(x) \leq c$ for all $x \in X, j=1, \ldots, k$ and some constant $c>0$. Then

$$
\kappa(x, r)=r^{\nu(x)} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(L_{e}^{(j)}(1 / r)\right)^{\beta_{j}(x)}
$$

satisfies $(\kappa 1),(\kappa 2)$ and ( $\kappa 3$ ).
For a locally integrable function $f$ on $X$, define the $L^{\Phi, \kappa}$ norm

$$
\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \sup _{x \in X, 0<r \leq d_{X}} \frac{\kappa(x, r)}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{X \cap B(x, r)} \bar{\Phi}(y,|f(y)| / \lambda) d \mu(y) \leq 1\right\} .
$$

See (2.1) for the definition of $\bar{\Phi}$. Let $L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)$ denote the set of all functions $f$ such that $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)}<\infty$ (cf. [38]), which we call a Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey space. Note that $L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)=L^{\Phi}(X)$ if $\mu(B(x, r)) \sim \kappa(x, r)$ for all $x \in X$ and $0<r \leq d_{X}$. (Here $h_{1}(x, s) \sim h_{2}(x, s)$ means that $C^{-1} h_{2}(x, s) \leq h_{1}(x, s) \leq C h_{2}(x, s)$ for a constant $C>0$.)

## 3 Lemmas for Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces

Set

$$
\Phi^{-1}(x, s)=\sup \{t>0 ; \Phi(x, t)<s\}
$$

for $x \in X$ and $s>0$.
Lemma 3.1 ([19, Lemma 5.1]). $\Phi^{-1}(x, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{-1}(x, \lambda s) \leq A_{2} \lambda \Phi^{-1}(x, s) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, s>0$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{1, \frac{s}{A_{1} A_{2}}\right\} \leq \Phi^{-1}(x, s) \leq \max \left\{1, A_{1} A_{2} s\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $s>0$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are the constants appearing in ( $\Phi 2$ ) and (Ф3).

Lemma 3.2. There exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-1} \leq \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, r)^{-1}\right) \leq C r^{-Q} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0<r \leq d_{X}$.
Proof. By ( $\kappa 3)$,

$$
Q_{2}^{-1} \leq \kappa(x, r)^{-1} \leq Q_{2} \max \left(1, r^{-Q}\right)
$$

for $x \in X$ and $0<r \leq d_{X}$. Hence, by (3.2), we obtain (3.3).
As in [19, Lemma 5.3], we can prove the following result.

Lemma 3.3 (cf. [19, Lemma 5.3] ). Assume that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ). Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{X \cap B(x, r)} f(y) d \mu(y) \leq C \mu(B(x, r)) \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, r)^{-1}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X, 0<r \leq d_{X}$ and $f \geq 0$ satisfying $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)} \leq 1$.
For $\alpha>0$, we define the Riesz potential of order $\alpha$ for a locally integrable function $f$ on $X$ by

$$
I_{\alpha} f(x)=\int_{X} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y)
$$

(e.g. see [15]).

Set

$$
\Gamma(x, s)=\int_{1 / s}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, \rho)^{-1}\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho}
$$

for $s \geq 2 / d_{X}$ and $x \in X$. For $0 \leq s<2 / d_{X}$ and $x \in X$, we set $\Gamma(x, s)=$ $\Gamma\left(x, 2 / d_{X}\right)\left(d_{X} / 2\right) s$. Then note that $\Gamma(x, \cdot)$ is strictly increasing and continuous for each $x \in X$.

Lemma 3.4 (cf. [20, Lemma 3.5] ). There exists a positive constant $C^{\prime}$ such that $\Gamma\left(x, 2 / d_{X}\right) \geq C^{\prime}>0$ for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 3.5. Assume that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ). Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{X \backslash B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \leq C \Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X, 0<\delta \leq d_{X} / 2$ and nonnegative $f \in L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)$ with $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)} \leq 1$.
Proof. Let $j_{0}$ be the smallest positive integer such that $2^{j_{0}} \delta \geq d_{X}$. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X \backslash B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}} \int_{X \cap\left(B\left(x, 2^{j} \delta\right) \backslash B\left(x, 2^{j-1} \delta\right)\right)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
\leq & \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}}\left(2^{j} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B\left(x, 2^{j-1} \delta\right)\right)} \int_{X \cap B\left(x, 2^{j} \delta\right)} f(y) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & c_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}}\left(2^{j} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B\left(x, 2^{j} \delta\right)\right)} \int_{X \cap B\left(x, 2^{j} \delta\right)} f(y) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & C\left(\sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}-1}\left(2^{j} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa\left(x, 2^{j} \delta\right)^{-1}\right)+d_{X}^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa\left(x, d_{X}\right)^{-1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By ( $\kappa 2$ ) and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{2^{j-1} \delta}^{2^{j} \delta} t^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, t)^{-1}\right) \frac{d t}{t} \geq\left(2^{j-1} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, Q_{1}^{-1} \kappa\left(x, 2^{j} \delta\right)^{-1}\right) \log 2 \\
\geq & \frac{\left(2^{j} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \log 2}{2^{\alpha} A_{2} Q_{1}} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa\left(x, 2^{j} \delta\right)^{-1}\right)=C\left(2^{j} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa\left(x, 2^{j} \delta\right)^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{d_{X} / 2}^{d_{X}} t^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, t)^{-1}\right) \frac{d t}{t} & \geq \frac{d_{X}^{\alpha} \log 2}{2^{\alpha} A_{2} Q_{1}} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa\left(x, d_{X}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& =C d_{X}^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa\left(x, d_{X}\right)^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X \backslash B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
\leq & C\left(\sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}-1} \int_{2^{j-1} \delta}^{2^{j} \delta} t^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, t)^{-1}\right) \frac{d t}{t}+\int_{d_{X} / 2}^{d_{X}} t^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, t)^{-1}\right) \frac{d t}{t}\right) \\
\leq & C \Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as required
Lemma 3.6. Assume that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ). Let $\varepsilon>0$ and define

$$
\lambda_{\varepsilon}(z, r)=\frac{1}{1+\int_{r}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1}\left(z, \kappa(z, \rho)^{-1}\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho}}
$$

for $z \in X$. Then there exists a constant $C_{I, \varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}(z, r)}{\mu(B(z, r))} \int_{X \cap B(z, r)} I_{\varepsilon} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq C_{I, \varepsilon}
$$

for all $z \in X, 0<r \leq d_{X}$ and $f \geq 0$ satisfying $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)} \leq 1$.
Proof. Let $z \in X$. Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\varepsilon} f(x) & =\int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\varepsilon} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y)+\int_{X \backslash B(z, 2 r)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\varepsilon} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
& =I_{1}(x)+I_{2}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x \in X$. By Fubini's theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X \cap B(z, r)} I_{1}(x) d \mu(x) \\
= & \int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)}\left(\int_{X \cap B(z, r)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(x)\right) f(y) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & \int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)}\left(\int_{X \cap B(y, 3 r)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(x)\right) f(y) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & \int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{X \cap\left(B \left(y, 2^{\left.-j+2 r) \backslash B\left(y, 2^{-j+1} r\right)\right)}\right.\right.} \frac{d(x, y)^{\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(x)\right) f(y) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & \int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{X \cap\left(B\left(y, 2^{-j+2} r\right) \backslash B\left(y, 2^{-j+1} r\right)\right)} \frac{\left(2^{-j+2} r\right)^{\varepsilon}}{\mu\left(B\left(x, 2^{-j+1} r\right)\right)} d \mu(x)\right) f(y) d \mu(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mu$ is a doubling measure, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X \cap B(z, r)} I_{1}(x) d \mu(x) \\
\leq & c_{0}^{2} \int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{X \cap\left(B\left(y, 2^{-j+2 r}\right) \backslash B\left(y, 2^{-j+1} r\right)\right)} \frac{\left(2^{-j+2} r\right)^{\varepsilon}}{\mu\left(B\left(x, 2^{-j+3} r\right)\right)} d \mu(x)\right) f(y) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & c_{0}^{2} \int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{X \cap\left(B\left(y, 2^{-j+2 r}\right) \backslash B\left(y, 2^{-j+1} r\right)\right)} \frac{\left(2^{-j+2} r\right)^{\varepsilon}}{\mu\left(B\left(y, 2^{-j+2} r\right)\right)} d \mu(x)\right) f(y) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & c_{0}^{2} \int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(2^{-j+2} r\right)^{\varepsilon}\right) f(y) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & C 8^{\varepsilon} \int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-j-1} r}^{2^{-j} r} t^{\varepsilon} \frac{d t}{t}\right) f(y) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & C \int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)}\left(\int_{0}^{r} t^{\varepsilon} \frac{d t}{t}\right) f(y) d \mu(y) \\
= & \frac{C}{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon} \int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)} f(y) d \mu(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by Lemma 3.3, ( $\kappa 2$ ) and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{\varepsilon} \int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)} f(y) d y & \leq C r^{\varepsilon} \mu(B(z, 2 r)) \Phi^{-1}\left(z, \kappa(z, 2 r)^{-1}\right) \\
& \leq C \mu(B(z, 2 r)) \int_{r}^{2 r} \rho^{\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1}\left(z, \kappa(z, \rho)^{-1}\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $0<r \leq d_{X} / 2$ and, by Lemma 3.3 and (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{\varepsilon} \int_{X \cap B(z, 2 r)} f(y) d y & =r^{\varepsilon} \int_{B\left(z, d_{X}\right)} f(y) d y \\
& \leq C d_{X}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mu\left(B\left(z, d_{X}\right)\right) \Phi^{-1}\left(z, \kappa\left(z, d_{X}\right)^{-1}\right) \leq C \mu(B(z, r))
\end{aligned}
$$

if $d_{X} / 2<r \leq d_{X}$. Therefore

$$
\int_{X \cap B(z, r)} I_{1}(x) d \mu(x) \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \frac{\mu(B(z, r))}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}(z, r)}
$$

for all $0<r \leq d_{X}$.
For $I_{2}$, first note that $I_{2}(x)=0$ if $x \in X$ and $r \geq d_{X} / 2$. Let $0<r<d_{X} / 2$. Let $j_{0}$ be the smallest positive integer such that $2^{j_{0}} r \geq d_{X}$. Since

$$
I_{2}(x) \leq C \int_{X \backslash B(z, 2 r)} \frac{d(z, y)^{\varepsilon} f(y)}{\mu(B(z, d(z, y)))} d \mu(y) \quad \text { for } \quad x \in X \cap B(z, r)
$$

by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(x) & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}-1} \int_{B\left(z, 2^{j+1} r\right) \backslash B\left(z, 2^{j r} r\right)} \frac{d(z, y)^{\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(z, d(z, y)))} f(y) d \mu(y) \\
& \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}-1}\left(2^{j+1} r\right)^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B\left(z, 2^{j} r\right)\right)} \int_{X \cap B\left(z, 2^{j+1} r\right)} f(y) d \mu(y) \\
& \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}-1}\left(2^{j+1} r\right)^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B\left(z, 2^{j+1} r\right)\right)} \int_{X \cap B\left(z, 2^{j+1} r\right)} f(y) d \mu(y) \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}-2}\left(2^{j+1} r\right)^{\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa\left(x, 2^{j+1} r\right)^{-1}\right)+d_{X}^{\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa\left(x, d_{X}\right)^{-1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(x) & \leq C\left(\sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}-2} \int_{2^{j_{r}}}^{2^{j+1} r} \rho^{\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, \rho)^{-1}\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho}+\int_{d_{X} / 2}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, \rho)^{-1}\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho}\right) \\
& \leq C \int_{r}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1}\left(z, \kappa(z, \rho)^{-1}\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}(z, r)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X \cap B(z, r)$. Hence

$$
\int_{X \cap B(z, r)} I_{2}(x) d \mu(x) \leq C \frac{\mu(B(z, r))}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}(z, r)} .
$$

Thus this lemma is proved.

## 4 Trudinger's inequality for Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces

In this section, we deal with the case $\Gamma(x, t)$ satisfies the uniform log-type condition: $\left(\Gamma_{\log }\right)$ there exists a constant $c_{\Gamma}>0$ such that

$$
\Gamma\left(x, t^{2}\right) \leq c_{\Gamma} \Gamma(x, t)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t \geq 1$.

Example 4.1. Let $\Phi$ and $\kappa$ be as in Examples 2.1 and 2.3, respectively. Then

$$
\Gamma(x, t) \sim \int_{1 / t}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\alpha-\nu(x) / p(x)} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[L_{e}^{(j)}(1 / \rho)\right]^{-\left(q_{j}(x)+\beta_{j}(x)\right) / p(x)} \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \quad\left(t \geq 2 / d_{X}\right)
$$

so that it satisfies $\left(\Gamma_{\log }\right)$ if and only if

$$
\alpha p(x) \geq \nu(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in X .
$$

By $\left(\Gamma_{\log }\right)$, together with Lemma 3.4, we see that $\Gamma(x, t)$ satisfies the uniform doubling condition in $t$ :

Lemma 4.2 (cf. [20, Lemma 4.2] ). Suppose $\Gamma(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Gamma_{\text {log }}$ ). For every $a>1$, there exists $b>0$ such that $\Gamma(x, a t) \leq b \Gamma(x, t)$ for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$.

Theorem 4.3. Assume that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ), $\Gamma(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Gamma_{\text {log }}$ ). For each $x \in X$, let $\gamma(x)=\sup _{s>0} \Gamma(x, s)$. Suppose $\Psi(x, t): X \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ satisfies the following conditions:
( $\Psi 1) \Psi(\cdot, t)$ is measurable on $X$ for each $t \in[0, \infty) ; \Psi(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ for each $x \in X$;
( $\Psi 2$ ) there is a constant $A_{1}^{\prime} \geq 1$ such that $\Psi(x, t) \leq \Psi\left(x, A_{1}^{\prime} s\right)$ for all $x \in X$ whenever $0<t<s$;
( $\Psi 3) \Psi\left(x, \Gamma(x, t) / A_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq A_{3}^{\prime} t$ for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$ with constants $A_{2}^{\prime}, A_{3}^{\prime} \geq 1$ independent of $x$.

Then, for $0<\varepsilon<\alpha$, there exists a constant $C^{*}>0$ such that $I_{\alpha} f(x) / C^{*}<\gamma(x)$ for a.e. $x \in X$ and

$$
\frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}(z, r)}{\mu(B(z, r))} \int_{X \cap B(z, r)} \Psi\left(x, \frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{C^{*}}\right) d \mu(x) \leq 1
$$

for all $z \in X, 0<r \leq d_{X}$ and $f \geq 0$ satisfying $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)} \leq 1$.
Proof. Let $f \geq 0$ and $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)} \leq 1$. Fix $x \in X$. For $0<\delta \leq d_{X} / 2$, Lemma 3.5 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha} f(x) & \leq \int_{X \cap B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y)+C \Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \\
& =\int_{X \cap B(x, \delta)} d(x, y)^{\alpha-\varepsilon} \frac{d(x, y)^{\varepsilon} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y)+C \Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \\
& \leq C\left\{\delta^{\alpha-\varepsilon} I_{\varepsilon} f(x)+\Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with constants $C>0$ independent of $x$.
If $I_{\varepsilon} f(x) \leq 2 / d_{X}$, then we take $\delta=d_{X} / 2$. Then, by Lemma 3.4

$$
I_{\alpha} f(x) \leq C \Gamma\left(x, \frac{2}{d_{X}}\right)
$$

By Lemma 4.2, there exists $C_{1}^{*}>0$ independent of $x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha} f(x) \leq C_{1}^{*} \Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{2 A_{3}^{\prime}}\right) \quad \text { if } I_{\varepsilon} f(x) \leq 2 / d_{X} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, suppose $2 / d_{X}<I_{\varepsilon} f(x)<\infty$. Let $m=\sup _{s \geq 2 / d_{X}, x \in X} \Gamma(x, s) / s$. By $\left(\Gamma_{\log }\right), m<\infty$. Define $\delta$ by

$$
\delta^{\alpha-\varepsilon}=\frac{\left(d_{X} / 2\right)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}}{m} \Gamma\left(x, I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right)\left(I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right)^{-1} .
$$

Since $\Gamma\left(x, I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right)\left(I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right)^{-1} \leq m, 0<\delta \leq d_{X} / 2$. Then by Lemma 3.4

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\delta} & \leq C \Gamma\left(x, I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right)^{-1 /(\alpha-\varepsilon)}\left(I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right)^{1 /(\alpha-\varepsilon)} \\
& \leq C \Gamma\left(x, 2 / d_{X}\right)^{-1 /(\alpha-\varepsilon)}\left(I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right)^{1 /(\alpha-\varepsilon)} \leq C\left(I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right)^{1 /(\alpha-\varepsilon)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using ( $\Gamma_{\log }$ ) and Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$
\Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \leq \Gamma\left(x, C\left(I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right)^{1 /(\alpha-\varepsilon)}\right) \leq C \Gamma\left(x, I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right)
$$

By Lemma 4.2 again, we see that there exists a constant $C_{2}^{*}>0$ independent of $x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha} f(x) \leq C_{2}^{*} \Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{2 C_{I, \varepsilon} A_{3}^{\prime}} I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right) \quad \text { if } 2 / d_{X}<I_{\varepsilon} f(x)<\infty \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{I, \varepsilon}$ is the constant given in Lemma 3.6.
Now, let $C^{*}=A_{1}^{\prime} A_{2}^{\prime} \max \left(C_{1}^{*}, C_{2}^{*}\right)$. Then, by (4.1) and (4.2),

$$
\frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{C^{*}} \leq \frac{1}{A_{1}^{\prime} A_{2}^{\prime}} \max \left\{\Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{2 A_{3}^{\prime}}\right), \Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{2 C_{I, \varepsilon} A_{3}^{\prime}} I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right)\right\}
$$

whenever $I_{\varepsilon} f(x)<\infty$. Since $I_{\varepsilon} f(x)<\infty$ for a.e. $x \in X$ by Lemma 3.6, $I_{\alpha} f(x) / C^{*}<$ $\gamma(x)$ a.e. $x \in X$, and by ( $\Psi 2$ ) and ( $\Psi 3$ ), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi\left(x, \frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{C^{*}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \max \left\{\Psi\left(x, \Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{2 A_{3}^{\prime}}\right) / A_{2}^{\prime}\right), \Psi\left(x, \Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{2 C_{I, \varepsilon} A_{3}^{\prime}} I_{\varepsilon} f(x)\right) / A_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 C_{I, \varepsilon}} I_{\varepsilon} f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for a.e. $x \in X$. Thus, noting that $\lambda_{\varepsilon}(z, r) \leq 1$ and using Lemma 3.6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}(z, r)}{\mu(B(z, r))} \int_{X \cap B(z, r)} \Psi\left(x, \frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{C^{*}}\right) d \mu(x) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\varepsilon}(z, r)+\frac{1}{2 C_{I, \varepsilon}} \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}(z, r)}{\mu(B(z, r))} \int_{X \cap B(z, r)} I_{\varepsilon} f(x) d \mu(x) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $z \in X$ and $0<r \leq d_{X}$.

Remark 4.4. If $\Gamma(x, s)$ is bounded, that is,

$$
\sup _{x \in X} \int_{0}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, \rho)^{-1}\right) d \rho<\infty
$$

then by Lemma 3.5 we see that $I_{\alpha}|f|$ is bounded for every $f \in L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)$.
Remark 4.5. We can not take $\varepsilon=\alpha$ in Theorem 4.3. For details, see [23, Remark 2.8].

As in the proof of [20, Corollary 4.6], we obtain the following corollary applying Theorem 4.3 to special $\Phi$ and $\kappa$ given in Examples 2.1 and 2.3.

Corollary 4.6. Let $\Phi$ and $\kappa$ be as in Examples 2.1 and 2.3.
Assume that

$$
\alpha-\nu(x) / p(x)=0 \quad \text { for all } x \in X
$$

(1) Suppose there exists an integer $1 \leq j_{0} \leq k$ such that

$$
\inf _{x \in X}\left(p(x)-q_{j_{0}}(x)-\beta_{j_{0}}(x)\right)>0
$$

and

$$
\sup _{x \in X}\left(p(x)-q_{j}(x)-\beta_{j}(x)\right) \leq 0
$$

for all $j \leq j_{0}-1$ in case $j_{0} \geq 2$. Then for $0<\varepsilon<\alpha$ there exist constants $C^{*}>0$ and $C^{* *}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{r^{\nu(z) / p(z)-\varepsilon}}{|B(z, r)|} \int_{X \cap B(z, r)} E_{+}^{\left(j_{0}\right)}\left(\left(\frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{C^{*}}\right)^{p(x) /\left(p(x)-q_{j_{0}}(x)-\beta_{j_{0}}(x)\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-j_{0}}\left(L_{e}^{(j)}\left(\frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{C^{*}}\right)\right)^{\left(q_{j_{0}+j}(x)+\beta_{j_{0}+j}(x)\right) /\left(p(x)-q_{j_{0}}(x)-\beta_{j_{0}}(x)\right)}\right) d \mu(x) \leq C^{* *}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $z \in X, 0<r \leq d_{X}$ and $f \geq 0$ satisfying $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)} \leq 1$, where $E^{(1)}(t)=$ $e^{t}-e, E^{(j+1)}(t)=\exp \left(E^{j}(t)\right)-e$ and $E_{+}^{(j)}(t)=\max \left(E^{(j)}(t), 0\right)$.
(2) If

$$
\sup _{x \in X}\left(p(x)-q_{j}(x)-\beta_{j}(x)\right) \leq 0
$$

for all $j=1, \ldots, k$, then for $0<\varepsilon<\alpha$ there exist constants $C^{*}>0$ and $C^{* *}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{r^{\nu(z) / p(z)-\varepsilon}}{|B(z, r)|} \int_{X \cap B(z, r)} E^{(k+1)}\left(\frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{C^{*}}\right) d \mu(x) \leq C^{* *}
$$

for all $z \in X, 0<r \leq d_{X}$ and $f \geq 0$ satisfying $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)} \leq 1$.

## 5 Continuity for Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces

In this section, we discuss the continuity of Riesz potentials $I_{\alpha} f$ of functions in Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces under the condition: there are constants $\theta>0$ and $C_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha}}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))}-\frac{d(z, y)^{\alpha}}{\mu(B(z, d(z, y)))}\right| \leq C_{0}\left(\frac{d(x, z)}{d(x, y)}\right)^{\theta} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha}}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) / 2$.
We consider the functions

$$
\omega(x, r)=\int_{0}^{r} \rho^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, \rho)^{-1}\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho}
$$

and

$$
\omega_{\theta}(x, r)=r^{\theta} \int_{r}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\alpha-\theta} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, \rho)^{-1}\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho}
$$

for $\theta>0$ and $0<r \leq d_{X}$.
Lemma 5.1 (cf. [20, Lemma 5.1] ). Let $E \subset X$. If $\omega(x, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$ uniformly in $x \in E$, then $\omega_{\theta}(x, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$ uniformly in $x \in E$.

Lemma 5.2 (cf. [20, Lemma 5.2] ). There exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\omega(x, 2 r) \leq C \omega(x, r)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0<r \leq d_{X} / 2$.
Theorem 5.3. Assume that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ). Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|I_{\alpha} f(x)-I_{\alpha} f(z)\right| \leq C\left\{\omega(x, d(x, z))+\omega(z, d(x, z))+\omega_{\theta}(x, d(x, z))\right\}
$$

for all $x, z \in X$ with $d(x, z) \leq d_{X} / 4$ and nonnegative $f \in L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)$ with $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)} \leq$ 1.

Before giving a proof of Theorem 5.3, we prepare two more lemmas.
Lemma 5.4. Assume that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ). Let $f$ be a nonnegative function on $X$ such that $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)} \leq 1$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{X \cap B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \leq C \omega(x, \delta)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0<\delta \leq d_{X}$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a nonnegative $\mu$-measurable function on $X$ with $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)} \leq 1$. As usual we start by decomposing $B(x, \delta)$ dyadically:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X \cap B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{X \cap\left(B ( x , 2 ^ { - j + 1 } \delta ) \backslash B \left(x, 2^{-j \delta))}\right.\right.} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
\leq & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{-j+1} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B\left(x, 2^{-j} \delta\right)\right)} \int_{B\left(x, 2^{-j+1} \delta\right)} f(y) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & c_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{-j+1} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B\left(x, 2^{-j+1} \delta\right)\right)} \int_{B\left(x, 2^{-j+1} \delta\right)} f(y) d \mu(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X \cap B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{-j+1} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa\left(x, 2^{-j+1} \delta\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \left.\leq C \int_{0}^{\delta} \rho^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \kappa(x, \rho)^{-1}\right)\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \\
& =C \omega(x, \delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following lemma can be proved on the same manner as Lemma 3.5.
Lemma 5.5. Assume that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ). Let $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$. Let $f$ be a nonnegative function on $X$ such that $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, k}(X)} \leq 1$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{X \backslash B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha-\theta} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \leq C \delta^{-\theta} \omega_{\theta}(x, \delta)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0<\delta \leq d_{X} / 2$.
Proof of Theorem 5.3. Let $f$ be a nonnegative $\mu$-measurable function on $X$ with $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)} \leq 1$ and $x, z \in X$ with $d(x, z) \leq d_{X} / 4$. Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\alpha} f(x)-I_{\alpha} f(z) \\
= & \int_{X \cap B(x, 2 d(x, z))} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y)-\int_{X \cap B(x, 2 d(x, z))} \frac{d(z, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(z, d(z, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
& +\int_{X \backslash B(x, 2 d(x, z))}\left(\frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha}}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))}-\frac{d(z, y)^{\alpha}}{\mu(B(z, d(z, y)))}\right) f(y) d \mu(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4, we have

$$
\int_{X \cap B(x, 2 d(x, z))} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \leq C \omega(x, 2 d(x, z)) \leq C \omega(x, d(x, z))
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X \cap B(x, 2 d(x, z))} \frac{d(z, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(z, d(z, y)))} d \mu(y) & \leq \int_{X \cap B(z, 3 d(x, z))} \frac{d(z, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(z, d(z, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
& \leq C \omega(z, 3 d(x, z)) \leq C \omega(z, d(x, z))
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by (5.1) and Lemma 5.5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X \backslash B(x, 2 d(x, z))}\left|\frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha}}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))}-\frac{d(z, y)^{\alpha}}{\mu(B(z, d(z, y)))}\right| f(y) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & C d(x, z)^{\theta} \int_{X \backslash B(x, 2 d(x, z))} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha-\theta} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
\leq & C \omega_{\theta}(x, 2 d(x, z)) \leq C \omega_{\theta}(x, d(x, z)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have the conclusion.
In view of Lemma 5.1, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 5.6. Assume that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ).
(a) Let $x_{0} \in X$ and suppose $\omega(x, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$ uniformly in $x \in X \cap B\left(x_{0}, \delta\right)$ for some $\delta>0$. Then $I_{\alpha} f$ is continuous at $x_{0}$ for every $f \in L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)$.
(b) Suppose $\omega(x, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$ uniformly in $x \in X$. Then $I_{\alpha} f$ is uniformly continuous on $X$ for every $f \in L^{\Phi, \kappa}(X)$.

## 6 Lemmas for Musielak-Orlicz spaces

For a measurable function $Q(\cdot)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<Q^{-}:=\inf _{x \in X} Q(x) \leq \sup _{x \in X} Q(x)=: Q^{+}<\infty \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we say that a measure $\mu$ is lower Ahlfors $Q(x)$-regular if there exists a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\mu(B(x, r)) \geq c_{1} r^{Q(x)}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0<r<d_{X}$. Recall that we say that the measure $\mu$ is a doubling measure if there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that $\mu(B(x, 2 r)) \leq c_{0} \mu(B(x, r))$ for every $x \in X$ and $0<r<d_{X}$. Here note that if $\mu$ is a doubling measure and $d_{X}<\infty$, then $\mu$ is lower Ahlfors $\log _{2} c_{0}$-regular since

$$
\frac{\mu(B(x, r))}{\mu\left(B\left(x, d_{X}\right)\right)} \geq c_{0}^{-2}\left(\frac{r}{d_{X}}\right)^{\log _{2} c_{0}}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0<r<d_{X}$ (see e.g. [4, Lemma 3.3]).
For a locally integrable function $f$ on $X$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $M f$ is defined by

$$
M f(x)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r) \cap X}|f(y)| d \mu(y) .
$$

As in the proof of [19, Theorem 4.1], we can show the following boundedness of maximal operator on $L^{\Phi}(X)$.

Lemma 6.1 (c.f. [19, Theorem 4.1]). Suppose that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ) and further assume:
$\left(\Phi 3^{*}\right) t \mapsto t^{-\varepsilon_{0}} \phi(x, t)$ is uniformly almost increasing on $(0, \infty)$ for some $\varepsilon_{0}>0$.
Then the maximal operator $M$ is bounded from $L^{\Phi}(X)$ into itself, namely, there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\|M f\|_{L^{\Phi}(X)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(X)}
$$

for all $f \in L^{\Phi}(X)$.
We consider the function

$$
\gamma(x, t): X \times\left(0, d_{X}\right) \rightarrow(0, \infty)
$$

satisfying the following conditions:
$(\gamma 1) \quad \gamma(\cdot, t)$ is measurable on $X$ for each $0<t<d_{X}$ and $\gamma(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $\left(0, d_{X}\right)$ for each $x \in X$;
$(\gamma 2)$ there exist constants $\gamma_{0}>0$ and $B_{0} \geq 1$ such that

$$
B_{0}^{-1} \leq \gamma(x, t) \leq B_{0} t^{-\gamma_{0}} \quad \text { for all } x \in X \quad \text { whenever } 0<t<d_{X} .
$$

$(\gamma 3)$ there exists a constant $B_{1} \geq 1$ such that

$$
B_{1}^{-1} \gamma(x, s) \leq \gamma(x, t) \leq B_{1} \gamma(x, s) \quad \text { for all } x \in X \text { and } 1 \leq t / s \leq 2 .
$$

Further we consider the function

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, t): X \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)
$$

satisfying the following conditions ( $\Gamma 1$ ), ( $\Gamma 2$ ) and ( $\Gamma 3$ ):
(Г1) $\widetilde{\Gamma}(\cdot, t)$ is measurable on $X$ for each $t \geq 0$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ for each $x \in X$;
(Г2) $\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, \cdot)$ is uniformly almost increasing, namely there exists a constant $B_{2} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, t) \leq B_{2} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, s) \quad \text { for all } x \in X \quad \text { whenever } 0 \leq t<s ;
$$

(Г3) For a measurable function $Q(\cdot)$ satisfying (6.1), there exist constants $\alpha_{0}>$ $0, B_{3} \geq 1$ and $B_{4} \geq 1$ such that

$$
t^{\alpha-Q(x)} \phi(x, \gamma(x, t))^{-1} \leq B_{3} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, 1 / t)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$ whenever $0<t<d_{X}$ and

$$
\int_{t}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\alpha} \gamma(x, \rho) \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \leq B_{4} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, 1 / t)
$$

for all $x \in X, 0<t \leq d_{X} / 2$ and $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$.

Example 6.2. Let $\Phi$ be as in Example 2.1.
(1) Suppose there exists an integer $1 \leq j_{0} \leq k$ such that

$$
\inf _{x \in X}\left(p(x)-q_{j_{0}}(x)-1\right)>0
$$

and

$$
\sup _{x \in X}\left(p(x)-q_{j}(x)-1\right) \leq 0
$$

for all $j \leq j_{0}-1$ in case $j_{0} \geq 2$. set
$\gamma(x, t)=t^{-Q(x) / p(x)}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{j_{0}-1}\left[L_{e}^{(j)}(1 / t)\right]^{-1}\right)\left[L_{e}^{\left(j_{0}\right)}(1 / t)\right]^{-\left(q_{j_{0}}(x)+1\right) / p(x)}\left(\prod_{j=j_{0}+1}^{k}\left[L_{e}^{(j)}(1 / t)\right]^{-q_{j}(x) / p(x)}\right)$
and

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, t)=\left[L_{e}^{\left(j_{0}\right)}(t)\right]^{\left(p(x)-q_{j 0}(x)-1\right) / p(x)}\left(\prod_{j=j_{0}+1}^{k}\left[L_{e}^{(j)}(t)\right]^{-q_{j}(x) / p(x)}\right) .
$$

Then $\gamma(x, t)$ satisfies $(\gamma 1),(\gamma 2)$ and $(\gamma 3)$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Gamma 1),(\Gamma 2)$ and $(\Gamma 3)$ for all $\alpha \geq Q^{+} / p^{-}$.
(2) Suppose that

$$
\sup _{x \in X}\left(p(x)-q_{j}(x)-1\right) \leq 0
$$

for all $j=1, \ldots, k$. set

$$
\gamma(x, t)=t^{-Q(x) / p(x)}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[L_{e}^{(j)}(1 / t)\right]^{-1}\right)\left[L_{e}^{(k+1)}(1 / t)\right]^{-1 / p(x)}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, t)=\left[L_{e}^{(k+1)}(1 / t)\right]^{1-1 / p(x)} .
$$

Then $\gamma(x, t)$ satisfies $(\gamma 1),(\gamma 2)$ and $(\gamma 3)$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Gamma 1),(\Gamma 2)$ and $(\Gamma 3)$ for all $\alpha \geq Q^{+} / p^{-}$.

In fact, see the proof of [39, Corollary 4.2].
Lemma 6.3. Assume that $\mu$ is lower Ahlfors $Q(x)$-regular. Suppose that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ). Let $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{X \backslash B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \leq C \widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X, 0<\delta \leq d_{X} / 2$ and nonnegative $f \in L^{\Phi}(X)$ with $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(X)} \leq 1$.
Proof. Let $f$ be a nonnegative $\mu$-measurable function on $X$ with $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(X)} \leq 1$. Let $j_{0}$ be the smallest integer $j_{0}$ such that $2^{j_{0}} \delta \geq d_{X}$. Since

$$
B_{0}^{-1} \leq \gamma(x, d(x, y)) \leq B_{0} d(x, y)^{-\gamma_{0}}
$$

in view of $(\gamma 2)$, we have

$$
d(x, y) \leq B_{0}^{2 / \gamma_{0}}\left(B_{0} \gamma(x, d(x, y))\right)^{-1 / \gamma_{0}} .
$$

Hence, by $(\Phi 3),(\Phi 4)$ and ( $\Phi 5$ ), we obtain

$$
\phi(y, \gamma(x, d(x, y)))^{-1} \leq B^{\prime} \phi(x, \gamma(x, d(x, y)))^{-1}
$$

with some constant $B^{\prime}>0$. $\operatorname{By}(\gamma 3),(\Phi 3),(\Gamma 2)$ and $(\Gamma 3)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X \backslash B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
\leq & \int_{X \backslash B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} \gamma(x, d(x, y))}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
& +A_{2} \int_{X \backslash B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} \frac{\phi(y, f(y))}{\phi(y, \gamma(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
\leq & \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}} \int_{B\left(x, 2^{j} \delta\right) \backslash B\left(x, 2^{j-1} \delta\right)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} \gamma(x, d(x, y))}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
& +c_{0}^{-1} A_{2} B^{\prime} \int_{X \backslash B(x, \delta)} d(x, y)^{\alpha-Q(x)} \phi(x, \gamma(x, d(x, y)))^{-1} \Phi(y, f(y)) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & 2^{\alpha} B_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}}\left(2^{j-1} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \gamma\left(x, 2^{j-1} \delta\right) \int_{B\left(x, 2^{j} \delta\right) \backslash B\left(x, 2^{j-1} \delta\right)} \frac{1}{\mu\left(B\left(x, 2^{j-1} \delta\right)\right)} d \mu(y) \\
& +c_{0}^{-1} A_{2} B_{2} B_{3} B^{\prime} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, 1 / \delta) \int_{X \backslash B(x, \delta)} \Phi(y, f(y)) d \mu(y) \\
\leq & 2^{\alpha} c_{2} B_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}}\left(2^{j-1} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \gamma\left(x, 2^{j-1} \delta\right)+c_{0}^{-1} A_{2} B_{2} B_{3} B^{\prime} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, 1 / \delta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\delta}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\alpha} \gamma(x, \rho) \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}-1} \int_{2^{j-1} \delta}^{2^{j} \delta} \rho^{\alpha} \gamma(x, \rho) \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \geq \frac{\log 2}{B_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}-1}\left(2^{j-1} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \gamma\left(x, 2^{j-1} \delta\right)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\delta}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\alpha} \gamma(x, \rho) \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \geq \int_{d_{X} / 2}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\alpha} \gamma(x, \rho) \frac{d \rho}{\rho} \geq \frac{\log 2}{2^{\alpha} B_{1}}\left(2^{j_{0}-1} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \gamma\left(x, 2^{j_{0}-1} \delta\right),
$$

we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}}\left(2^{j-1} \delta\right)^{\alpha} \gamma\left(x, 2^{j-1} \delta\right) \leq \frac{B_{1}}{\log 2}\left(2^{\alpha}+1\right) \int_{\delta}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\alpha} \gamma(x, \rho) \frac{d \rho}{\rho}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X \backslash B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
\leq & (\log 2)^{-1} 2^{\alpha}\left(2^{\alpha}+1\right) c_{2} B_{1}^{2} \int_{\delta}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\alpha} \gamma(x, \rho) \frac{d \rho}{\rho}+c_{0}^{-1} A_{2} B_{2} B_{3} B^{\prime} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, 1 / \delta) \\
\leq & (\log 2)^{-1} 2^{\alpha}\left(2^{\alpha}+1\right) c_{2} B_{1}^{2} B_{4} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, 1 / \delta)+c_{0}^{-1} A_{2} B_{2} B_{3} B^{\prime} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, 1 / \delta) \\
= & \left((\log 2)^{-1} 2^{\alpha}\left(2^{\alpha}+1\right) c_{2} B_{1}^{2} B_{4}+c_{0}^{-1} A_{2} B_{2} B_{3} B^{\prime}\right) \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, 1 / \delta),
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
Lemma 6.4 (cf. [39, Lemma 3.3]). Let $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$. Then there exists a constant $C^{\prime}>0$ such that $\widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, 2 / d_{X}\right) \geq C^{\prime}$ for all $x \in X$.
Lemma 6.5 (cf. [39, Lemma 3.4]). Suppose $\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, t)$ satisfies the uniform log-type condition:
( $\left.\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {log }}\right)$ there exists a constant $c_{\Gamma}>0$ such that

$$
c_{\Gamma}^{-1} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, t) \leq \widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, t^{2}\right) \leq c_{\Gamma} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, t)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$.
Then, for every $a>1$, there exists $b>0$ such that $\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, a t) \leq b \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, t)$ for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$.

## 7 Trudinger's inequality for Musielak-Orlicz spaces

Theorem 7.1. Suppose that $\mu$ is lower Ahlfors $Q(x)$-regular. Assume that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ) and $\left(\Phi 3^{*}\right)$. Further, assume that $\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, t)$ satisfies $\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {log }}\right)$. For each $x \in X$, let $\widetilde{\gamma}(x)=\sup _{s>0} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, s)$. Suppose $\widetilde{\Psi}(x, t): X \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ satisfies the following conditions:
$(\widetilde{\Psi} 1) \widetilde{\Psi}(\cdot, t)$ is measurable on $X$ for each $t \in[0, \infty)$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ for each $x \in X$;
$(\widetilde{\Psi} 2)$ there is a constant $B_{5} \geq 1$ such that $\widetilde{\Psi}(x, t) \leq \widetilde{\Psi}\left(x, B_{5} s\right)$ for all $x \in X$ whenever $0<t<s$;
( $\widetilde{\Psi} 3)$ there are constants $B_{6}, B_{7} \geq 1$ and $t_{0}>0$ such that $\widetilde{\Psi}\left(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, t) / B_{6}\right) \leq B_{7} t$ for all $x \in X$ and $t \geq t_{0}$.

Then there exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that $I_{\alpha} f(x) / c_{1} \leq \widetilde{\gamma}(x)$ for $\mu$-a.e. $x \in X$ and

$$
\int_{X} \widetilde{\Psi}\left(x, \frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{c_{1}}\right) d \mu(x) \leq c_{2}
$$

for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$ and nonnegative functions $f \in L^{\Phi}(X)$ satisfying $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(X)} \leq 1$.
Proof. Let $f$ be a nonnegative $\mu$-measurable function on $X$ with $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(X)} \leq 1$. Note from Lemma 6.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X} M f(x) d \mu(x) \leq \mu(X)+A_{1} A_{2} \int_{X} \Phi(x, M f(x)) d \mu(x) \leq C_{M} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $x \in X$. For $0<\delta \leq d_{X} / 2$, Lemma 6.3 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha} f(x) & =\int_{B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y)+\int_{X \backslash B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha} f(y)}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} d \mu(y) \\
& \leq C\left\{\delta^{\alpha} M f(x)+\widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with a constant $C>0$ independent of $x$.
If $M f(x) \leq 2 / d_{X}$, then we take $\delta=d_{X} / 2$. Then, by Lemma 6.4

$$
I_{\alpha} f(x) \leq C \widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, \frac{2}{d_{X}}\right)
$$

By Lemma 6.5 and $(\Gamma 2)$, there exists $C_{1}^{*}>0$ independent of $x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha} f(x) \leq C_{1}^{*} \widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, t_{0}\right) \quad \text { if } M f(x) \leq 2 / d_{X} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, suppose $2 / d_{X}<M f(x)<\infty$. Let $m=\sup _{s \geq 2 / d_{X}, x \in X} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, s) / s$. By $\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {log }}\right), m<\infty$. Define $\delta$ by

$$
\delta^{\alpha}=\frac{\left(d_{X} / 2\right)^{\alpha}}{m} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, M f(x))(M f(x))^{-1}
$$

Since $\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, M f(x))(M f(x))^{-1} \leq m, 0<\delta \leq d_{X} / 2$. Then by Lemma 6.4 and ( $\left.\Gamma 2\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\delta} & =\frac{m^{1 / \alpha}}{d_{X} / 2} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, M f(x))^{-1 / \alpha}(M f(x))^{1 / \alpha} \\
& \leq \frac{m^{1 / \alpha}}{d_{X} / 2} B_{2}^{1 / \alpha} \widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, 2 / d_{X}\right)^{-1 / \alpha}(M f(x))^{1 / \alpha} \leq C(M f(x))^{1 / \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using ( $\Gamma 2$ ), ( $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text {log }}$ ) and Lemma 6.5, we obtain

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \leq B_{2} \widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, C(M f(x))^{1 / \alpha}\right) \leq C \widetilde{\Gamma}(x, M f(x))
$$

By Lemma 6.5 again, we see from (Г2) that there exists a constant $C_{2}^{*}>0$ independent of $x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha} f(x) \leq C_{2}^{*} \widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, \frac{t_{0} d_{X}}{2} M f(x)\right) \quad \text { if } 2 / d_{X}<M f(x)<\infty \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $c_{1}=B_{5} B_{6} \max \left(C_{1}^{*}, C_{2}^{*}\right)$. Then, by (7.2) and (7.3),

$$
\frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{c_{1}} \leq \frac{1}{B_{5} B_{6}} \max \left\{\widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, t_{0}\right), \widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, \frac{t_{0} d_{X}}{2} M f(x)\right)\right\}
$$

whenever $M f(x)<\infty$. Since $M f(x)<\infty$ for $\mu$-a.e. $x \in X$ by Lemma 6.1, $I_{\alpha} f(x) / c_{1} \leq \widetilde{\gamma}(x) \mu$-a.e. $x \in X$, and by ( $\left.\widetilde{\Psi} 2\right)$ and ( $\widetilde{\Psi} 3$ ), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\Psi}\left(x, \frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{c_{1}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \max \left\{\widetilde{\Psi}\left(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, t_{0}\right) / B_{6}\right), \widetilde{\Psi}\left(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}\left(x, \frac{t_{0} d_{X}}{2} M f(x)\right) / B_{6}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad \leq B_{7} t_{0}+\frac{B_{7} t_{0} d_{X}}{2} M f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\mu$-a.e. $x \in X$. Thus, we have by (7.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X} \widetilde{\Psi}\left(x, \frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{c_{1}}\right) d \mu(x) & \leq B_{7} t_{0} \mu(X)+\frac{B_{7} t_{0} d_{X}}{2} \int_{X} M f(x) d \mu(x) \\
& \leq B_{7} t_{0} \mu(X)+\frac{B_{7} t_{0} d_{X} C_{M}}{2}=c_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain the following corollary applying Theorem 7.1 to special $\Phi$ given in Example 2.1,

Corollary 7.2. Let $\Phi$ be as in Example 2.1. Asuume that $\mu$ is lower Ahlfors $Q(x)$-regular.
(1) Suppose there exists an integer $1 \leq j_{0} \leq k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{x \in X}\left(p(x)-q_{j 0}(x)-1\right)>0 \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in X}\left(p(x)-q_{j}(x)-1\right) \leq 0 \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j \leq j_{0}-1$ in case $j_{0} \geq 2$. Then there exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X} E_{+}^{\left(j_{0}\right)}\left(\left(\frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{c_{1}}\right)^{p(x) /\left(p(x)-q_{j_{0}}(x)-1\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-j_{0}}\left(L_{e}^{(j)}\left(\frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{c_{1}}\right)\right)^{q_{j_{0}+j}(x) /\left(p(x)-q_{j_{0}}(x)-1\right)}\right) d \mu(x) \leq c_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\alpha \geq Q^{+} / p^{-}$and nonnegative functions $f \in L^{\Phi}(X)$ satisfying $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(X)} \leq 1$. (2) If

$$
\sup _{x \in X}\left(p(x)-q_{j}(x)-1\right) \leq 0
$$

for all $j=1, \ldots, k$, then there exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{X} E^{(k+1)}\left(\left(\frac{I_{\alpha} f(x)}{c_{1}}\right)^{p(x) /(p(x)-1)}\right) d \mu(x) \leq c_{2}
$$

for all $\alpha \geq Q^{+} / p^{-}$and nonnegative functions $f \in L^{\Phi}(X)$ satisfying $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(X)} \leq 1$.

## 8 Continuity for Musielak-Orlicz spaces

For a measurable function $Q(\cdot)$ satsfying (6.1), we consider the functions

$$
\widetilde{\omega}(x, r)=\int_{0}^{r} \rho^{\alpha} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \rho^{-Q(x)}\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\omega}_{\theta}(x, r)=r^{\theta} \int_{r}^{d_{X}} \rho^{\alpha-\theta} \Phi^{-1}\left(x, \rho^{-Q(x)}\right) \frac{d \rho}{\rho}
$$

for $\theta>0$ and $0<r \leq d_{X}$.
As in the proof of Theorem 5.3, we can obtain the continuity of Riesz potentials $I_{\alpha} f$ of functions in Musielak-Orlicz spaces under the condition (5.1).

Theorem 8.1. Asuume that $\mu$ is lower Ahlfors $Q(x)$-regular. Suppose that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ). Suppose that (5.1) holds. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|I_{\alpha} f(x)-I_{\alpha} f(z)\right| \leq C\left\{\widetilde{\omega}(x, d(x, z))+\widetilde{\omega}(z, d(x, z))+\widetilde{\omega}_{\theta}(x, d(x, z))\right\}
$$

for all $x, z \in X$ with $0<d(x, z) \leq d_{X} / 2$ whenever $f \in L^{\Phi}(X)$ is a nonnegative function on $X$ satisfying $\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(X)} \leq 1$.

Corollary 8.2. Asuume that $\mu$ is lower Ahlfors $Q(x)$-regular. Suppose that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ( $\Phi 5$ ). Suppose that (5.1) holds.
(a) Let $x_{0} \in X$ and suppose $\widetilde{\omega}(x, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$ uniformly in $x \in B\left(x_{0}, \delta\right) \cap X$ for some $\delta>0$. Then $I_{\alpha} f$ is continuous at $x_{0}$ for every $f \in L^{\Phi}(X)$.
(b) Suppose $\widetilde{\omega}(x, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$ uniformly in $x \in X$. Then $I_{\alpha} f$ is uniformly continuous on $X$ for every $f \in L^{\Phi}(X)$.
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